arXiv:math/9807065v1 [math.DG] 13 Jul 1998

COHOMOLOGIES AND DEFORMATIONS OF RIGHT-SYMMETRIC ALGEBRAS

ASKAR DZHUMADIL'DAEV

Dedicated to A.I. Kostrikin on the occasion of his 70-th birthday

ABSTRACT. An algebra A with identity $(a \circ b) \circ c - a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ c) \circ b - a \circ (c \circ b)$, is called right-symmetric. Cohomology and deformation theory for right-symmetric algebras are developed. Cohomologies of gl_n and half-Witt algebras W_n^{rsym}, $p = 0$, $W_n^{rsym}(\mathbf{m})$, $p > 0$, are calculated. In particular, one right-symmetric central extension of W_1^{rsym} is constructed.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction. 2
2. Right-symmetric algebras and (co)modules. 4
2.1. Right-symmetric algebras 4
2.2. Right-symmetric modules and comodules 6
2.3. Antisymmetric module $C^1_{right}(A, M)$ 9
2.4. Universal enveloping algebras of right-symmetric algebras 10
2.5. Right-symmetric cohomologies as a derived functor 11
2.6. Comultiplication of universal enveloping algebra 14
2.7. Right-symmetric modules for W_n^{rsym} 17
3. Cohomologies of right-symmetric algebras 18
3.1. Pre-simplicial structures on $C^{*+1}_{rsym}(A, M)$ 18
3.2. Cohomologies of right-symmetric algebras and Cartan's formulas. 22
3.3. Long exact cohomological sequence 26
3.4. Connections between right-symmetric cohomologies and Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomologies. 26
3.5. Cup product in right-symmetric cohomologies. 32
4. Deformations of right-symmetric algebras. 34
4.1. Deformation equations 34
4.2. Third cohomologies as obstruction 35
4.3. Steenrod squares 39
5. Calculations 39
5.1. Standard 2-cocycles of right-symmetric algebras. 39

5.2. Semi-center and derivations of W_n^{rsym} 41
5.3. Pairing of $W_n^{rsym}-$ modules and cocycle constructions. 42
5.4. Right-symmetric central extensions of Novikov algebras 44
5.5. Cohomologies of W_n^{rsym} in an antisymmetric module 47
5.6. Cohomologies of gl_n^{rsym} in an antisymmetric module 49
5.7. Cohomologies of gl_n^{rsym} in a regular module 50
References 51

1. INTRODUCTION.

An algebra A over a field $\mathcal{K}$ of characteristic $p \geq 0$ is called right-symmetric [19], [14], if for any $a, b, c \in A,$ the following condition takes place

$$a \circ (b \circ c) - (a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (c \circ b) - (a \circ c) \circ b.$$

Any associative algebra is right-symmetric. For example, gl_n under usual multiplication of matrices is right-symmetric. An algebra of vector fields $\mathcal{K}[[x^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]]$ under multiplication $u\partial_i \circ v\partial_j = v\partial_j(u)\partial_i$ gives us a less trivial example of right-symmetric algebras. It is not associative. Since its Lie algebra is isomorphic to Witt algebra W_n, we call it a half-Witt algebra and denote it as W_n^{rsym}. If $n = 1,$ this algebra satisfies one more identity

$$a \circ (b \circ c) = b \circ (a \circ c).$$

Such algebras are called Novikov [1], [15]. The generalisation of Novikov structure for the case $n > 1$ is possible, if we consider half-Witt algebra not with one, but with two multiplications. If we endow $\mathcal{K}[[x^{\pm 1}, \dots, x^{\pm 1}]]$ with a second multiplication $u\partial_i * v\partial_j = \partial_i(u)v\partial_j,$ then we obtain algebra with the following identities

$$a \circ (b \circ c) - (a \circ b) \circ c - a \circ (c \circ b) + (a \circ c) \circ b = 0,$$

$$a * (b * c) - b * (a * c) = 0,$$

$$a \circ (b * c) - b * (a \circ c) = 0,$$

$$(a * b - b * a - a \circ b + b \circ a) * c = 0,$$

$$(a \circ b - b \circ a) * c + a * (c \circ b) - (a * c) \circ b - b * (c \circ a) + (b * c) \circ a = 0.$$

These two multiplications are useful in the construction of right-symmetric, Chevalley-Eilenberg and Leibniz cocycles of such algebras.

We develop cohomology theory for right-symmetric algebras. We endow right-symmetric cochain complex $C^*_{rsym}(A, M) = \oplus_k C^k_{rsym}(A, M),$ where $C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A, M) = Hom(A \otimes \wedge^k(A), M), k \geq 0,$ by a pre-simplicial structure. Corresponding cohomologies can be "almost" obtained by derived functor formalism. The exact meaning of the word "almost" can be found in section 2.5. Roughly speaking, this means that one should be more careful in considerating small degree cohomologies. If we take $C^0_{rsym}(A,M)$ as M, then we should consider the operator d_{rsym} with cubic condition $d^3_{rsym}=0$. [12]. We prefer taking $C^0_{rsym}(A,M)$ as a $Ker\, d^2_{rsym}$ on M, i.e., $C^0_{rsym}(A,M):=M^{l.ass}:=\{m\in M:(m,a,b)=0,\forall a,b\in A\}$. Then for any $m\in M$, we can correspond 2-right-symmetric cocycles, $\nabla(m):(a,b)\mapsto(m,a,b)$. We call such cocycles as standard. If $m\in M^{l.ass}$, then $\nabla(m)=0$. If $m\in M$, then the cohomological class $[\nabla(m)]=0$, because of $\nabla(m)=d\omega$, where $\omega(a)=[a,m]$. Moreover it is true, if M is a submodule of some right-symmetric $A-$ module $\tilde{M}$, and $m\in\tilde{M}$, such that $[a,m]=a\circ m-m\circ a\in M,\forall a\in M$. If $\tilde{m}\in\tilde{M}$, such that $d_{rsym}\tilde{m}(a)\notin M$, then $\nabla(\tilde{m})$ can give a nontrivial class of 2-right-symmetric cocycles in $H^2_{rsym}(A,M)$. For example, Osborn 2-right-symmetric cocycles for $A=W_1^{rsym}(m),p>0$, that appear in constructing simple Novikov algebras,

$$(u\partial,v\partial)\mapsto x^{p^m-1}uv\partial,$$

$$(u\partial,v\partial)\mapsto x^{p^m-2}uv\partial,$$

are $\nabla(x^{p^m+1}\partial)$, and $\nabla(x^{p^m}\partial)$, correspondingly.

If $k>0$, right-symmetric cohomologies $H^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,M)$ are isomorphic to Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomologies $H^k_{lie}(A,C^1(A,M))$, where $A^{lie}-$ module structure on $C^1(A,M)$ is given by a special way: $[a,f](b)=-d_{rsym}f(b,a)$. We endow also right-symmetric universal enveloping algebra by a Hopf algebraic structure. It allows us to consider cup products, that are very useful in cocycle constructions.

Second cohomology space $H^2_{rsym}(A,A)$ is interpreted as a space of right-symmetric deformations. We calculate right-symmetric cohomologies of matrix algebra $gl_n,p=0$. We prove that, in the category of irreducible antisymmetric $gl_n^{rsym}-$ modules, nontrivial cohomologies appear only in the case of $M=(gl_n)_{anti}$. Moreover, right-symmetric cohomology of gl_n^{rsym} in $(gl_n)_{anti}$ can be reduced to Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of Lie algebra gl_n with coefficients in trivial module:

$$H^{k+1}_{rsym}(gl_n,(gl_n)_{anti})\cong H^k_{lie}(gl_n,\mathcal{K}),\ k>0.$$

In particular, $H^{k+1}_{rsym}(gl_n,\mathcal{K})=0,\ k\geq 0$. We calculate also right-symmetric cohomologies of gl_n with coefficients in regular module. These results show that gl_n has $(n^2-1)-$ parametrical nontrivial right-symmetric deformations. Any formal right-symmetric deformation of gl_n is equivalent to the deformations given by the rule

$$(a,b)\mapsto a\circ b+t\,tr\,b\,[X,a],\ \ X\in sl_2.$$

One can choose the prolongation in another way:

$$(a,b)\mapsto a\circ b+t\,X\circ((tr\,a)b-tr\,(a\circ b)+(tr\,b)a)$$

$$+t^2\{tr\,a\,tr\,b-(tr\,a\circ b)^2X^2-(tr\,a\,tr(X\circ b))X-(tr\,(a\circ X)tr\,b)X\}+\cdots .$$

We prove that right-symmetric cohomologies of $A=W_n^{rsym}$ with coefficients in antisymmetric modules can also be reduced to Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomologies of the Lie algebra W_n. As it turned out, $H^2_{rsym}(A,A)$ for $A=W_n, p=0,$ or $A=W_n(\mathbf{m}), p>0,$ is too large and this happens mainly because of largeness of a space of right-symmetric derivations. There is an imbedding

$$Z^1_{rsym}(A,A)\otimes H^1_{lie}(A,U)\to H^2_{rsym}(A,A).$$

We prove that $Z^1_{rsym}(A,A)$ has a basis consisting of two types of right-symmetric derivations: $\partial_i, i=1,\dots,n,$ if $p>0,$ one should consider also derivations $\partial_i^{p^{k_i}}, 0\le k_i<m_i$; and $x_i\partial_j, i,j=1,\dots,n.$ So, any right-symmetric derivation of A has a form $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i\partial_i+\delta(p>0)\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{k_i=0}^{m_i-1}\lambda_{i,k_i}\partial_i^{p^{k_i}}$, such that $\partial_i\partial_j(u_s)=0, i,j,s=1,\dots,n, \lambda_{i,k_i}\in\mathcal{K}$. We formulate a result about local deformations of $W_n, p=0,$ or $W_n(\mathbf{m}), p>3.$ The space $H^2_{rsym}(W_n,W_n), p=0,$ is generated by classes of cocycles of four types. In the case of $p>3$ Steenrod Squares also appears. We prove that W_1^{rsym} has exactly one right-symmetric central extension. It can be given by cocycle

$$(e_i,e_j)\mapsto(j+1)j\delta_{i+j,-1},\quad p=0,$$

$$(e_i,e_j)\mapsto(-1)^i\delta_{i+j,p^m-1},\quad p>0.$$

For $n>1,\ H^2_{rsym}(W_n,\mathcal{K})=0.$

For right-symmetric algebras, Novikov algebras and some cohomology calculations see also [9], [13], [16], [17], [2], [3], [18].

2. Right-symmetric algebras and (co)modules.

2.1. **Right-symmetric algebras.** An algebra A over a field $\mathcal{K}$ with multiplication $(a,b)\mapsto a\circ b,$ is called *Lie-admissible,* if the vector space A under commutator $[a,b]=a\circ b-b\circ a$ can be endowed by a structure of Lie algebra. An algebra A is *right-symmetric,* if it satisfies the following identity :

$$(a\circ b)\circ c-a\circ(b\circ c)=(a\circ c)\circ b-a\circ(c\circ b),\quad\forall a,b,c\in A.$$

Let $(a,b,c)=a\circ(b\circ c)-(a\circ b)\circ c$ be the asssociator of elements $a,b,c\in A.$ In terms of associators the right-symmetric identity is

$$(a,b,c)=(a,c,b),\ \forall a,b,c\in A.$$

Right-symmetric algebra A is Lie-admissible. Similarly, one can define left-symmetric algebra by identity

$$(a,b,c)=(b,a,c),\ \forall a,b,c\in A.$$

Categories of left-symmetric algebras and right-symmetric algebras are equivalent. Any left(right)-symmetric algebra will be right(left)-symmetric under new multiplication $(a,b)\mapsto b\circ a$.

An element e of right-symmetric algebra is called *left unit*, if $e\circ a=a$, for any $a\in A$. Denote by $Q_l(A)$ a space of left units. Let $Z_l(A)=\{z\in A: z\circ a=0,\forall a\in A\}$ be *left center* of A. Call a space $N_l(A)=Z_l(A)\oplus Q_l(A)$ as a semi-senter of A. Then $[N_l(A),N_l(A)]\subseteq Z_l(A)$. An algebra A is called *(left)* unital, if it has nontrivial left units.

Any associative algebra is a right-symmetric algebra. In such cases, we will use notations like A^{ass}, if we consider A as associative algebra and A^{rsym}, if we consider A as right-symmetric algebra. Similarly, for right-symmetric algebra A notation A^{rsym} means that we use only right-symmetric structure on A and A^{lie} stands for a Lie algebra structure under commutator $(a,b)\mapsto [a,b]$.

Matrix algebras gl_n gives us examples of unital right-symmetric algebras.

Less trivial examples appear in the consideration of Witt algebras. The algebra $W_n, p=0$, and $W_n(\mathbf{m})$ defined below has not only right-symmetric mutiplication $(a,b)\mapsto a\circ b$, but also one more multiplication $(a,b)\mapsto a*b$, that satsifies the following identities

$$a\circ(b\circ c)-(a\circ b)\circ c-a\circ(c\circ b)+(a\circ c)\circ b=0,$$

$$a*(b*c)-b*(a*c)=0,$$

$$a\circ(b*c)-b*(a\circ c)=0,$$

$$(a*b-b*a-a\circ b+b\circ a)*c=0,$$

$$(a\circ b-b\circ a)*c+a*(c\circ b)-(a*c)\circ b-b*(c\circ a)+(b*c)\circ a=0.$$

Let

$$U=k[[x_1^{\pm1},\dots,x_n^{\pm1}]]=\{x^\alpha=\prod_{i=1}^{k}x_i^{\alpha_i}:\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n),\alpha_i\in\mathbf{Z},i=1,\dots,n\}$$

be an algebra of Laurent power series, if the main field k has characteristic 0 and

$$U=O_n(\mathbf{m})=\{x^{(\alpha)}=\prod_i x_i^{(\alpha_i)}:\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n),0\le\alpha_i<p^{m_i},i=1,\dots,n\}$$

be a divided power algebra if $char\, k = p > 0$. Recall that $O_n(\mathbf{m})$ is p^m -dimensional and the muliplication is given by

$$x^{(\alpha)}x^{(\beta)} = \binom{\alpha+\beta}{\alpha}x^{(\alpha+\beta)},$$

where $m = \sum_i m_i,$ and

$$\binom{\alpha+\beta}{\alpha} = \prod_i \binom{\alpha_i+\beta_i}{\alpha_i}, \ \binom{n}{l} = \frac{n!}{l!(n-l)!}, \ n, l \in \mathbf{Z}_+.$$

Let $\epsilon_i = (0, \dots, \underset{i}{1}, \dots, 0)$. Define ∂_i as a derivation of U,

$$\partial_i(x^\alpha) = \alpha_i x^{\alpha-\epsilon_i}, \ p = 0,$$
$$\partial_i(x^{(\alpha)}) = x^{(\alpha-\epsilon_i)}, \ p > 0.$$

Endow a space of derivations $Der\, U = \{\sum_i u_i\partial_i : u_i \in U\}$ by multiplications:

$$u\partial_i \circ v\partial_j = v\partial_j(u)\partial_i,$$
$$u\partial_i * v\partial_j = \partial_i(u)v\partial_j.$$

Denote obtained algebra as $W_n^{rsym}(\mathbf{m})$. If $p = 0,$ this denotion will be reduced until W_n^{rsym}. If $n \not\equiv 0 (mod\, p),$ then an element $e = \sum_i x_1\partial_i/n$ is a left unit of $W_n^{rsym}(m)$. If $n = 1,$ then $a\circ b = a*b$. Thus the algebra $A = W_1^{rsym}(\mathbf{m})$ in addition to right-symmetry condition satisfies the following identity

$$a \circ (b \circ c) = b \circ (a \circ c), \ \forall a, b, c \in A.$$

Such algebras are called *Novikov algebras* [1]. Notice that Novikov algebra $W_1(m)$ is unital. If right-symmetric algebra A is Novikov algebra, we will use denotion A^{nov}.

2.2. **Right-symmetric modules and comodules.** A vector space M is said to be *module* over right-symmetric algebra $A,$ if it is endowed by right action

$$M \times A \to M, \quad (m, a) \mapsto m \circ a$$

and left action

$$A \times M \to M, \quad (a, m) \mapsto a \circ m,$$

such that

$$m \circ [a, b] - (m \circ a) \circ b + (m \circ b) \circ a = 0,$$
$$(a \circ m) \circ b - a \circ (m \circ b) - (a \circ b) \circ m + a \circ (b \circ m) = 0,$$

for any $a, b \in A, m \in M$. We will say, that M is *antisymmetric* $A-$ module, if the left action of A is trivial, i.e., $a \circ m = 0,$ for any $a \in A, m \in M$. For module M over right-symetric algebra $A,$

denote by M_{anti} its antisymmetric $A-$ module: $M_{anti}=M,\ (m,a)\mapsto m\circ a,\ (a,m)\mapsto 0,$ for all $m\in M_{anti}, a\in A.$

A right-symmetric $A-$ module M is said to be *special,* if the right action satisfies the following condition

$$m\circ(a\circ b)-(m\circ a)\circ b=0,\quad \forall a,b\in A,\forall m\in M.$$

A special module is *antisymmetric,* if $a\circ m=0,$ for all $a\in A.$

Example. For right-symmetric algebra A its vector space A can be endowed by a natural structure of $A-$ module, $(a,m)\mapsto a\circ m,\ (m,a)\mapsto m\circ a,\ \ a,m\in A.$ In such cases we say that $M=A$ is *regular* $A-$ module. If A is associative algebra, then regular module is special.

The functor

$$A^{lie}\text{-module}\rightarrow\ \text{right } A^{lie}\text{-module}\rightarrow\ \text{Antisymmetric } A\text{-module}$$

gives us an equivalence of the category of antisymmetric $A-$ modules to the category of (right) $A^{lie}-$ modules. Antisymmetric A -module corresponding to right A^{lie} -module M will be denoted by $M_{anti}.$

Assume that A is an associative algebra A with multiplication $(a,b)\mapsto a\cdot b.$ In the last case of A^{rsym} right-symmetric multiplications can be defined in two ways: by $(a,b)\mapsto a\cdot b$ or by $(a,b)\mapsto b\cdot a.$ For definiteness we endow A^{rsym} by multiplication $(a,b)\mapsto a\cdot b.$ For associative algebra A the functor

$$\text{Right } A^{ass}\text{-module}\rightarrow\ \text{Antisymmetric special } A^{rsym}\text{-module}$$

gives us an equivalence of the categories of antisymmetric special A^{rsym} -modules and right A^{ass} -modules.

Right-symmetric A -module M can be endowed by a structure of module over Lie algebra A^{lie} by action $[a,m]=a\circ m-m\circ a.$ The obtained module is denoted by $M^{lie}.$

So, for defining module structure on a vector space M over a right-symmetric algebra A one should define on M right module structure over the Lie algebra A^{lie} and endow it by a left action that satisfies condition $(AAM).$ As we mentioned before the last can be done by a trivial way by setting $a\circ m=0,\forall a\in A,\forall m\in M.$

For a module M over right-symmetric algebra A the subspace

$$M^{l.ass}=\{m:\in M:(m,a,b)=0,\forall a,b\in A\}$$

is called a *left associative invariant* subspace of $M,$ and

$$M^{l.inv}=\{m\in M:m\circ a=0,\forall a\in A\}$$

is called a *left invariant* subspace of $M.$ If $M=A$ is *regular module,* then $A^{l.ass}$ is called a *left associative center.* Notice that, $A^{l.inv}$ coincides with the left center of $A.$ Notice that, $M^{l.inv}$ is close under right action of A and

$$M^{l.inv} \subseteq M^{l.ass}.$$

Module M of (left) unital right-symmetric algebra is called *(left) unital,* if

$$e \circ m = m, \quad \forall e \in Q_l(A), \forall m \in M.$$

and *(left) central,* if

$$z \circ m = 0, \quad , \forall z \in Z_l(A), \forall m \in M.$$

Regular module of unital right-symmetric algebra is unital and central.

A vector space M is called *comodule* over right-symmetric algebra $A,$ if there are given right action

$$M \times A \to M, \quad (m, a) \mapsto m \circ a,$$

and left action

$$A \times M \to M, \quad (a, m) \mapsto a \circ m,$$

such that

$$[a, b] \circ m - a \circ (b \circ m) + b \circ (a \circ m) = 0,$$
$$-b \circ (m \circ a) + (b \circ m) \circ a - m \circ (a \circ b) + (m \circ a) \circ b = 0,$$

for any $a, b \in A, m \in M.$ A comodule M is *special,* if it satisfies the identity

$$(a \circ b) \circ m = a \circ (b \circ m), \ \ \forall a, b \in A, \forall m \in M.$$

A (special) comodule M is called *antisymmetric,* if $m \circ a = 0,$ for any $a \in A.$

Example. Let A be right-symmetric algebra, M be $A-$ module and $M' = \{f : M \to \mathcal{K}\}$ be a space of linear functions on $M.$ Set

$$(a \circ f)(m) = f(m \circ a), \ (f \circ a)(m) = f(a \circ m).$$

Then M' under actions $(a, f) \mapsto a \circ f,$ $(f, a) \mapsto f \circ a,$ can be endowed by a structure of $A-$ comodule. Check it.

$$\{[a, b]f - a \circ (b \circ f) + b \circ (a \circ f)\}(m) =$$
$$f(m \circ [a, b] - (m \circ a) \circ b + (m \circ b) \circ a) = 0,$$

$$\{-b \circ (f \circ a) + (b \circ f) \circ a - f \circ (a \circ b) + (f \circ a) \circ b\}(m) =$$
$$f(-a \circ (m \circ b) + (a \circ m) \circ b - (a \circ b) \circ m + a \circ (b \circ m)) = 0.$$

The $A-$ comodule A' for regular module A is called *coregular* comodule of $A.$ If A is associative, then A' is special comodule.

For $A-$ comodule M let

$$M^{r.ass} = \{m \in M : (a, b, m) = 0, \ \forall a, b \in A\}$$

be a *right associative invariant* subspace of M and

$$M^{r.inv}=\{m\in M: a\circ m=0,\ \forall a\in A\}$$

be a *right invariant* subspace of M. Notice that $M^{r.inv}$ is close under left action of A. An inclusion takes place

$$M^{r.inv}\subseteq M^{r.ass}.$$

2.3. **Antisymmetric module** $C^1_{right}(A,M)$.

Proposition 2.1. *The space of linear maps* $C^1_{rsym}(A,M):=C^1(A,M)=\{f:A\to M\}$ *can be endowed by a structure of antisymmetric* $A-$ *module, where the right action is given by*

$$(f\circ a)(b)=f(b)\circ a-f(a\circ b)+b\circ f(a),\ a,b\in A.$$

Proof. For $f\in C^1(A,M), a,b,c\in A,$ we have

$$(f\circ[b,c])(a)-((f\circ b)\circ c)(a)+((f\circ c)\circ b)(a)=$$

$$d_{rsym}f(a,[b,c])-d_{rsym}([f,b])(a,c)+d_{rsym}([f,c])(a,b)=$$

$$\begin{gathered}a\circ f([b,c])-f(a\circ[b,c])+f(a)\circ[b,c]-\\ -a\circ[f,b](c)+[f,b](a\circ c)-[f,b](a)\circ c+\\ +a\circ[f,c](b)-[f,c](a\circ b)+[f,c](a)\circ b=\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}a\circ f([b,c])-f(a\circ[b,c])+f(a)\circ[b,c]\\ -a\circ d_{rsym}f(c,b)+d_{rsym}f(a\circ c,b)-d_{rsym}f(a,b)\circ c+\\ +a\circ d_{rsym}f(b,c)-d_{rsym}f(a\circ b,c)+d_{rsym}f(a,c)\circ b=\end{gathered}$$

$$a\circ \underline{f([b,c])}-f(\underline{\underline{a\circ[b,c]}})+f(\underline{\underline{\underline{a)\circ[b,c]}}}$$

$$\begin{gathered}-a\circ\utilde{(c\circ f(b))}+a\circ\underline{f(c\circ b)}-a\circ\underline{\utilde{(f(c)}}\circ b)\\ +(\utilde{a\circ c)}\circ f(b)-f((\underline{\underline{a\circ c)}}\circ b)+f(\utilde{\utilde{a\circ c)}}\circ b\\ -a\circ\utilde{f(b)}\circ c+f(\underline{\underline{\utilde{a\circ b)}}}\circ c-(f(\underline{\underline{\underline{a)\circ b)}}}\circ c+\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}+a\circ\underline{\utilde{(b\circ f(c))}}-a\circ\underline{f(b\circ c)}+a\circ\utilde{(f(b)}\circ c)\\ -(\underline{\utilde{a\circ b)}}\circ f(c)+f((\underline{\underline{a\circ b)}}\circ c)-f(\underline{\underline{\utilde{a\circ b)}}}\circ c+\\ (a\circ\underline{\utilde{f(c))}}\circ b-f(\utilde{\utilde{a\circ c)}}\circ b+(f(\underline{\underline{\underline{a)\circ c)}}}\circ b=\end{gathered}$$

$$=0.$$

So, $C^1(A,M)$ is a right A^{lie}-module. •

2.4. **Universal enveloping algebras of right-symmetric algebras.** Consider two copies of A, denote them by A^r, A^l, and the tensor algebra $T(A^r \oplus A^l)$. Algebras A^r, A^l supposed to be free as $\mathcal{K}-$ module and the tensor algebra $T(A^r \oplus A^l)$ is associative and unital. Elements of A^r and A^l corresponding to $a \in A$ denote as r_a and l_a. Let $U(A)$ be a factor-algebra of $T(A^r \oplus A^l$ over an ideal J generated by $r_{[a,b]} - r_a r_b + r_b r_a$, $[r_b, l_a] - l_b l_a + l_{a\circ b}$. This algebra can be considered as *a universal enveloping algebra* of right-symmetric algebra A. Denote by $\breve{U}(A)$ the factor-algebra of $T(A^r \oplus A^l)$ over an ideal $\breve{J}$ generated by $\{r_{a\circ b} - r_a r_b,\ [r_b, l_a] - l_b l_a + l_{a\circ b}\}$. This algebra is called a *special universal enveloping algebra of* A. Notice that, $J \subset \breve{J}$, since

$$r_{[a,b]} - [r_a, r_b] = \{r_{a\circ b} - r_a r_b\} \ - \ \{r_{b\circ a} + r_b r_a\} \in \breve{J}.$$

So, the following exact sequences of algebras take place

$$0 \to J \to T(A^r \oplus A^l) \to U(A) \to 0,$$

$$0 \to \breve{J} \to T(A^r \oplus A^l) \to \breve{U}(A) \to 0,$$

and

$$0 \to \breve{J}/J \to U(A) \to \breve{U}(A) \to 0.$$

In particular, we can consider $\breve{U}(A)$ as right $U(A)-$ module:

$$\breve{u}\bar{v} = \breve{u}\breve{v},$$

where $\breve{u}$ and $\bar{u}$ are elements of $\breve{U}(A)$ and $U(A)$ corresponding to $u \in T(A^r \oplus A^l)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let A be a right-symmetric algebra.*

i) There exists an equivalence of the categories of $A-$ modules and right $U(A)-$ modules. The same is true for $A-$ comodules and left $U(A)-$ modules.

ii) The category of special $A-$ modules is equivalent to the category of right $\breve{U}(A)-$ modules. The same is true for special $A-$ comodules and left $\breve{U}(A)-$ modules.

Proof. i) Let $(r,l) : A \to End\,M$ be a representation of right-symmetric algebra A corresponding to A -module M, i.e.,

$$r : A \to EndM,\ \ a \mapsto r_a,\ \ mr_a = m\circ a,$$

$$l : A \to EndM,\ \ a \mapsto l_a,\ \ ml_a = a\circ m,$$

linear operators, such that for any $a, b \in A$,

$$r_{[a,b]} - r_a r_b + r_b r_a = 0, \qquad (MAA)$$

$$[r_b, l_a] - l_b l_a + l_{a\circ b} = 0. \qquad (AAM)$$

So, any $A-$ module is a right $U(A)-$ module and, converse, any right $U(A)-$ module can be considered as an $A-$ module.

A corepresentation $(r^{co}, l^{co}) : A \to End\, M$, corresponding to A-comodule M,

$$r^{co} : A \to End\, M,\ a \mapsto r_a,\ r^{co}_a m = a \circ m,$$
$$l^{co} : A \to End\, M,\ a \mapsto l_a,\ l^{co}_a m = m \circ a,$$

satisfies conditions (MAA), (AAM) for r^{co}_a, l^{co}_a. So, any $A-$ comodule is a left $U(A)-$ module. Any left $U(A)-$ module can be considered as a $A-$ comodule.

ii) Let M be a special $A-$ module. Then by the rule

$$mr_a = m \circ a, ml_a = m \circ a,$$

we obtain a right $\breve{U}(A)-$ module:

$$m \circ (a \circ b) - (m \circ a) \circ b = 0 \to r_{a \circ b} = r_a r_b.$$

Converse, for a right $\breve{U}(A)-$ module N, one can correspond special $A-$ module N, by $n \circ a := nr_a, a \circ n = nl_a$.

For a special $A-$ comodule M notice that

$$(a \circ b) \circ m - a \circ (b \circ m) = 0 \Rightarrow r^{co}_{a \circ b} = r^{co}_a r^{co}_b,$$

if $r^{co}_a m = a \circ m, l^{co}_a m = m \circ a$. So, any special $A-$ comodule is a left $U^{spec}(A)-$ module. A converse statement is also evident. •

2.5. **Right-symmetric cohomologies as a derived functor .** Recall that factor-images of the element $u \in T(A^r \oplus A^l)$ in $U(A)$ and $\breve{U}(A)$ are denoted by $\bar{u}$ and $\breve{u}$. Consider $\breve{A} = A\oplus < 1 >$ as a right $U(A)-$ module:

$$1 \circ \bar{r}_a = r_a \circ 1 = a,\ 1 \circ \bar{l}_a = l_a \circ 1 = a,\ a \circ \bar{r}_b = a \circ b,\ a \circ \bar{l}_b = b \circ a,$$

for all $a \in A$. Endow $\breve{U}(A)$ by a structure of $U(A)-$ module as in the subsection 2.4. Consider $A \otimes \wedge^k(A) \otimes U(A), k \geq 0,$ as a right $U(A)-$ module. Then $A \otimes \wedge^k A \otimes U(A)$ is a free $U(A)-$ module. Denote its generators by $< a_0, a_1, \dots , a_k >$, where $a_0 \in A, a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_k \in \wedge^k A$. Construct homomorphisms

$$\partial : A \otimes \wedge^k A \otimes U(A) \to A \otimes \wedge^{k-1} A \otimes U(A), k > 0,$$
$$\partial : A \otimes U(A) \to \breve{U}(A)$$
$$\epsilon : \breve{U}(a) \to \breve{A},$$

as below

$$\partial < a_0, a_1, \dots , a_k >=$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \{(-1)^{i+1} < a_i, a_1, \dots , \hat{a}_i, \dots , a_k > \bar{l}_{a_0}$$

$$+(-1)^i < a_0 \circ a_i, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_k >$$
$$+(-1)^{i+1} < a_0, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_k > \bar{r}_{a_i}\}$$
$$+\sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+1} < a_0, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{j-1}, [a_i, a_j], \dots, a_k >,$$

$$\partial(a_0) = < \breve{1} > \bar{l}_{a_0} - < \breve{1} > \bar{r}_{a_0},$$

$$\epsilon\breve{1} = \breve{1}, \ \ \epsilon\breve{r}_a = a, \ \ \epsilon\breve{l}_a = -a.$$

Then the following sequence

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial} A \otimes \wedge^2 A \otimes U(A) \xrightarrow{\partial} A \otimes A \otimes U(A) \xrightarrow{\partial} A \otimes U(A) \xrightarrow{\partial} \breve{U}(A) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \breve{A} \to 0$$

is almost a free resolution of the right $U(A)-$ module $\breve{A}$. Here the words "almost free" mean that all members of the resolution except $\breve{U}(A)$ is are free right $U(A)-$ modules.

Notice that

$$Hom_{U(A)}(A \otimes \wedge^k A \otimes U(A), M) \cong A \otimes \wedge^k A, \ k \geq 0,$$

and $Hom_{U(A)}(\breve{U}(A), M)$ consists of $g : \breve{U}(A) \to M,$ such that

$$g(\breve{1})(\bar{r}_a\bar{r}_b - \bar{r}_{a\circ b}) = g(\breve{1}(\bar{r}_a\bar{r}_b - \bar{r}_{a\circ b})) =$$
$$g(\breve{r_a r_b} - \breve{r_{a\circ b}}) = g(\breve{r}_a\breve{r}_b - \breve{r_{a\circ b}}) =$$
$$0,$$

for any $a, b \in A$. So,

$$Hom_{U(A)}(\breve{U}(A), M) \cong \{m \in M : (m, a, b) = 0\}.$$

Therefore, as a right-symmetric cochain complex we can take

$$C^*_{rsym}(A, M) = \oplus_k C^k_{rsym}(A, M),$$
$$C^0_{rsym}(A, M) = \{m \in M : (m, a, b) = 0, \forall a, b \in A\},$$
$$C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A, M) = A \otimes \wedge^k A, \ \ k \geq 0.$$

These statements will follow from our results on right-symmetric cohomologies in the next sections. Our approach is slightly different from Koszul's approach. We will argue in cohomological terms and prove that right-symmetric cochain complex has a pre-simplicial structure.

Let us mention these results relating homologies. Let M be comodule over right-symmetric algebra A. Endow $M \otimes A$ by a structure of antisymmetric $A-$ comodule with a left action

$$b \circ (m \otimes a) = m \circ a \otimes b - m \otimes a \circ b + b \circ m \otimes a.$$

Set

$$C_0^{rsym}(A, M) := M^{r.ass} := \{m \in M : (a, b, m) = 0, \forall a, b \in A\},$$

$$C^{rsym}_{k+1}(A,M)=M\otimes A\otimes\wedge^k(A),\ \ k\geq 0.$$

$$C^{rsym}_*(A,M)=\oplus_k C^{rsym}_k(A,M).$$

Then $C^{rsym}_*(A,M)$ is chain complex under the boundary operator

$$\partial : C^{rsym}_{k+1}(A,M)\to C^{rsym}_k(A,M),$$

$$\partial(m\otimes a_0\otimes a_1\wedge\cdots\wedge a_k)=$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k(-1)^{i+1}\{m\circ a_0\otimes a_i\otimes a_1\wedge\cdots\hat{a}_i\cdots\wedge a_k$$

$$-m\otimes a_0\circ a_i\otimes a_1\wedge\hat{a}_i\cdots\wedge a_k$$

$$+a_i\circ m\otimes a_0\otimes a_1\wedge\cdots\hat{a}_i\cdots\wedge a_k\}$$

$$+\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+1}m\otimes a_0\otimes a_1\wedge\cdots\hat{a}_i\cdots\wedge a_{j-1}\wedge[a_i,a_j]\wedge\cdots a_k.$$

Moreover, $C^{rsym}_*(A,M)$ has antisymmetric $A-$comodule structure with left action

$$\rho^{rsym}_{co}(x) : C^{rsym}_{k+1}(A,M)\to C^{rsym}_{k+1}(A,M),$$

$$\rho^{rsym}_{co}(x)(m\otimes a_0\otimes a_1\wedge\cdots\wedge a_k)=$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k(-1)^i(m\circ a_0\otimes a_i\otimes a_1\wedge\cdots\hat{a}_i\cdots\wedge a_k$$

$$-m\otimes a_0\circ a_i\otimes a_1\wedge\cdots\hat{a}_i\cdots\wedge a_k$$

$$+a_i\circ m\otimes a_0\otimes a_1\wedge\cdots\hat{a}_i\cdots\wedge a_k\}$$

$$+\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+1}m\otimes a_0\otimes a_1\wedge\cdots\wedge a_{i-1}\wedge[x,a_i]\wedge\cdots\wedge a_k,$$

and an isomorphism of $A-$comodules takes place

$$C^{rsym}_{k+1}(A,M)\cong C^{lie}_k(A,M\otimes A).$$

that induces an isomorphism of homology spaces

$$H^{rsym}_{k+1}(A,M)\cong H^{lie}_k(A,M\otimes A),\ \ k>0.$$

2.6. **Comultiplication of universal enveloping algebra.** Let $U(A)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of a right-symmetric algebra A. As we noticed in section 2, it can be generated by the elements $r_a, l_a, a \in A$, such that

$$r_{[a,b]} - [r_a, r_b] = 0,\ [l_a, r_b] - l_{a\circ b} + l_b l_a = 0,\ a, b \in A.$$

Define homomorphism

$$\Delta : U(A) \to U(A) \otimes U(A),$$

by

$$\begin{gathered}\Delta(1) = 1 \otimes 1,\\ \Delta(r_a) = r_a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (r_a - l_a),\\ \Delta(l_a) = l_a \otimes 1.\end{gathered}$$

Since, according to right-symmetry identities,

$$\begin{gathered}\Delta([r_a, r_b]) =\\ \Delta(r_a)\Delta(r_b) - \Delta(r_b)\Delta(r_a) =\\ r_a r_b \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (r_a - l_a)(r_b - l_b) - r_b r_a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (r_b - l_b)(r_a - l_a) =\\ r_{[a,b]} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (r_{[a,b]} - l_{[a,b]} =\\ \Delta(r_{[a,b]}),\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}\Delta([l_a, r_b] - l_{a\circ b} + l_b l_a) =\\ (l_a \otimes 1)(r_b \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (r_b - l_b)) - (r_b \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (r_b - l_b))(l_a \otimes 1) - l_{a\circ b} \otimes 1 + l_b l_a \otimes 1 =\\ ([l_a, r_b] - l_{a\circ b} + l_b l_a) \otimes 1 =\\ 0.\end{gathered}$$

this definition is correct.

Theorem 2.3. *For a right-symmetric algebra A and its universal enveloping algebra $U(A)$ the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U(A) \arrow[r, "\Delta"] \arrow[d, "\Delta"] & U(A) \otimes U(A) \arrow[d, "\Delta \otimes 1"] \\
U(A) \otimes U(A) \arrow[r, "1\otimes\Delta"] & U(A) \otimes U(A) \otimes U(A)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. We must check that

$$(1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(u) = (\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta(u),\ \forall u \in U(A).$$

We have

$$\begin{gathered}(1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(r_a) =\\ (r_a \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes r_a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes (r_a - l_a)) - 1 \otimes l_a \otimes 1 =\\ (r_a \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes r_a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes l_a \otimes 1) + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes (r_a - l_a) =\\ \Delta(r_a) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (r_a - l_a) =\end{gathered}$$

$$(\Delta \otimes 1) \otimes \Delta(r_a),$$

$$(1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(l_a) = l_a \otimes 1 \otimes 1 = (\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta(l_a). \bullet$$

Similarly, homomorphism Δ_1 defined below is also comultiplication,

$$\Delta_1 : U(A) \to U(A) \otimes U(A),$$

$$\Delta_1(1) = 1 \otimes 1,$$

$$\Delta_1(r_a) = (r_a - l_a) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes r_a,$$

$$\Delta_1(l_a) = 1 \otimes l_a$$

So, we can construct for given $A-$ modules M and N their tensor products $M \otimes N$ with a module structure unduced by comultiplication $\Delta :$

$$(m \otimes n) \circ a = m \circ a \otimes n + m \otimes [n, a],$$

$$a \circ (m \otimes n) = a \circ m \otimes n.$$

Moreover, it is possible for right-symmetric $A-$ module M and for $A^{lie}-$ module N. These module structures on tensor products are associative: if M, N, S are modules over right symmetric algebra A, then

$$(M \otimes N) \otimes S \cong M \otimes (N \otimes S).$$

Definition. *For given modules M, N over right-symmetric algebra A, a homomorphism of $A-$ modules $M \otimes N \to S$ is called a cup product of M and N.*

Denote the image of $m \otimes n$ in S by $m \cup n$. Thus, a bilinear map

$$M \times N \to S, \ (m, n) \mapsto m \cup n,$$

is said to be the cup product (pairing) of M and N to S, if

$$(m \cup n) \circ a = m \circ a \cup n + m \cup [n, a],$$

$$a \circ (m \cup n) = a \circ m \cup n,$$

for any $a \in A, m, n \in M$.

Let

$$C^1(A, M) = Hom_k(A, M), \ C^k_{lie}(A, M) = Hom_k(\wedge^k A, M), \ k \geq 0,$$

$$C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A, M) = Hom_k(A \otimes \wedge^k(A), M), \ k \geq 0.$$

Proposition 2.4. *$C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,M)$ has an antisymmetric $A-$ module structure, where the right action*

$$(C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,M)\times A\to C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,M),\quad (\psi,x)\mapsto \psi\circ x,$$

is defined by

$$(\psi\circ x)(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_k)=$$

$$a_0\circ\psi(x,a_1,\dots,a_k)-\psi(a_0\circ x,a_1,\dots,a_k)$$

$$+\psi(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_k)\circ x+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\psi(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_{i-1},[x,a_i],\dots,a_k),$$

for $\psi\in C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,M),\ k\ge 0.$

Proof. Since,

$$C^1_{rsym}(A,M)=C^1(A,M),$$

an isomorphism of linear spaces takes place

$$G: C^1_{rsym}(A,M)\otimes C^k_{lie}(A,k)\to C^{k+1}_{right}(A,M),\ k\ge 0,$$

$$(G(f\otimes\psi))(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_k)=f(a_0)\psi(a_1,\dots,a_k).$$

In section 2.3 we have constructed an antisymmetric right-module structure on $C^1_{right}(A,M)$. Lie module structure on $C^k_{lie}(A,k)$ over A^{lie} is well known. So, for an antisymmetric $A-$ module structure

$$C^1_{rsym}(A,M)\otimes C^k_{lie}(A,k)=\{f\otimes\phi : f\in C^1_{right}(A,M),\phi\in C^k_{lie}(A,k)\}$$

we have

$$((f\otimes\phi)\circ x)(a_0\otimes(a_1,\dots,a_k))=$$

$$((f\circ x)\otimes\psi)(a_0\otimes(a_1,\dots,a_k))+(f\otimes[\psi,x])(a_0\otimes(a_1,\dots,a_k))=$$

$$(f(a_0)\circ x-f(a_0\circ x)+a_0\circ f(x))\otimes\psi(a_1,\dots,a_k)$$

$$+f(a_0)\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{k}\psi(a_1,\dots,[x,a_i],\dots,a_k)=$$

We see that

$$G\{(f\otimes\psi)\circ x\}=\{G(f\otimes\psi)\}\circ x.$$

Therefore, $(\psi,x)\mapsto\psi\circ x$ gives us a right representation. •

2.7. **Right-symmetric modules for W_n^{rsym}.** Let

$$\Gamma_n = \{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \alpha_i \in \mathbf{Z}, i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

$$\Gamma_n^+ = \{\alpha \in \Gamma_n : \alpha_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

and

$$\Gamma_n(\mathbf{m}) = \{\alpha \in \Gamma_n^+ : \alpha_i < p^{m_i}, i = 1, \dots, n\},$$

if $p > 0, \mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$.

Let

$$U = \mathcal{K}[[x^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]] = \{x^\alpha : \alpha \in \Gamma_n\},$$

$$U^+ = \mathcal{K}[[x^1, \dots, x_n^1]] = \{x^\alpha : \alpha \in \Gamma_n^+\},$$

if $p = 0$, and

$$U = O_n(\mathbf{m}) = \{x^{(\alpha)} : \alpha \in \Gamma_n(\mathbf{m})\},$$

if $p > 0$.

For $p = 0$, let $A = W_n^{rsym}$, if $U = \mathcal{K}[[x^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]]$, and $A^+ = W_n^{+rsym}$, if $U^+ = \mathcal{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. Let A be $W_n^{rsym}(\mathbf{m})$, if $U = O_n(\mathbf{m})$, $p > 0$. Algebras A, A^+ are right-symmetric and U is associative commutative.

Notice that U has a structure of antisymmetric graded $A-$ module. The right action is given by $u \circ a\partial_i = a\partial_i(u)$. The gradings are given by

$$|x^\alpha| = \sum_i \alpha_i, \quad \alpha \in \Gamma_n \ \text{ (or } \Gamma_n(\mathbf{m}) \ \text{ if } p > 0),$$

$$U = \oplus_k U_k, \ \ U_k = \{u \in U : |u| = k\},$$

$$A = \oplus_k A_k, \ \ A_k = \{a\partial_i : |a| = k+1, i = 1, \dots, n\}, \ A_k \circ A_l \subseteq A_{k+l},$$

$$U \circ U_l \subseteq U_{k+l}, \ \ U_k \circ A_l \subseteq U_{k+l}, k, l \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Notice that $A_0 \cong gl_n^{rsym}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_0 = \oplus_k A_k$, and $\mathcal{A}^+{}_0 = \oplus_k > 0 A_k^+$, if $p = 0$. Let M be $\mathcal{A}_0-$ module, if $p > 0$, and $\mathcal{A}_0^+-$ module if $p = 0$. Define antisymmetric $A-$ module structure on $U \otimes M_0$ by (see [6])

$$(u \otimes m) \circ a\partial_i = a\partial_i(u) \otimes m + \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_n} u\partial^\beta(a) \otimes [m, x^{(\beta)}\partial_i], \ \ p > 0,$$

$$(u \otimes m) \circ a\partial_i = a\partial_i(u) \otimes m + \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_n^+} (1/\beta!) u\partial^\beta(a) \otimes [m, x^\beta \partial_i], \ p = 0.$$

3. Cohomologies of right-symmetric algebras

3.1. **Pre-simplicial structures on $C^{*+1}_{rsym}(A,M)$.** For a right-symmetric algebra A and its module M we introduce a structure of pre-simplicial cochain complex on $C^{*+1}_{rsym}(A,M)=\oplus_{k\ge 0}C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,M)$, where

$$C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,M)=Hom(A\otimes\wedge^k A,M),\ k\ge 0.$$

Define linear operators $D_i : C^{*+1}_{rsym}(A,M)\to C^{*+1}_{rsym}(A,M), i=1,2,\dots,$ by the rules

$$D_i : C^{k}_{rsym}(A,M)\to C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,M),$$

$$D_i\psi(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_k)=$$

$$a_0\circ\psi(a_i,a_1,\dots,\hat{a}_i,\dots,a_k)-\psi(a_0\circ a_i,a_1,\dots,\hat{a}_i,\dots,a_k)$$

$$+\psi(a_0,a_1,\dots,\hat{a}_i,\dots,a_k)\circ a_i+\sum_{i<j}\psi(a_0,a_1,\dots,\hat{a}_i,\dots,a_{j-1},[a_i,a_j],\dots,a_k),$$

$0\le k,\ i\le k,$

$$D_i\psi=0,\ i>k.$$

Here $\hat{a}$ means that the element a is omitted.

In the next section we will endow $C^{*}_{rsym}(A,M)=\oplus_k C^{k}_{rsym}(A,M)$ by a structure of cochain complex, where

$$C^{k}_{rsym}(A,M)=0,\quad k<0,$$

$$C^{0}_{rsym}(A,M)=\{m\in M : (ma)b=m(ab),\quad \forall a,b\in A\}.$$

Theorem 3.1. *The set of endomorphisms* $D_i, i=1,2,\dots$ *endows* $C^{*+1}_{rsym}(A,M)=\oplus_{k>0}C^{k}_{rsym}(A,M)$ *by a pre-simplicial structure:*

$$D_jD_i=D_iD_{j-1},\ i<j,$$

In particular, $d_{rsym}=-\sum_i(-1)^iD_i$, *is a coboundary operator on* $C^{*+1}_{rsym}(A,M)$:

$$d_{rsym}{}^2=0.$$

Proof. For $i<j, 1<k,$ we have

$$D_jD_i\psi(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_k)=X_1+X_2+X_3+X_4,$$

where

$$X_1=a_0(D_i\psi(a_j,a_1,\dots,\hat{a}_j,\dots,a_k),$$

$$X_2=-D_i\psi(a_0\circ a_j,a_1,\dots,\hat{a}_j,\dots,a_k),$$

$$X_3=D_i\psi(a_0,a_1,\dots,\hat{a}_j,\dots,a_k)a_j,$$

$$X_4=\sum_{j<s}D_i\psi(a_0,\dots,\hat{a}_j,\dots,a_{s-1},[a_j,a_s],\dots,a_k).$$

Direct calculations show that

$$X_1=$$

$$a_0(a_j\psi(a_i, a_1, \underline{\ldots, \hat{a}_i,} \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_k))$$

$$-a_0\psi(a_j \circ a_i, a_1, \underline{\underline{\ldots, \hat{a}_i,}} \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_k)$$

$$+a_0(\psi(a_j, a_1, \ldots \underline{\underline{\underline{, \hat{a}_i, \ldots}}}, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_k)a_i)$$

$$+\sum_{i<s, s\neq j} a_0(\psi(a_j, a_1, \ldots, \underset{\underline{\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim}}{\hat{a}_i, \ldots,} [a_i, a_s], \ldots, a_k)),$$

$$X_2 =$$

$$-(a_0 \circ a_j)\psi(a_i, a_1\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim}{, \ldots,} \hat{a}_i, \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_k)$$

$$+\psi((a_0 \circ a_j) \circ a_i, a_1\underset{\approx\approx\approx\approx\approx\approx}{, \ldots,} \hat{a}_i, \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_k)$$

$$-(\psi(a_0 \circ a_j, a_1, \underset{\underline{\underline{\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim}}}{\ldots, \hat{a}_i,} \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_k))a_i$$

$$-\sum_{i<s, s\neq j} \psi(a_0 \circ a_j, a_1, \ldots \underset{\overset{\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim}{\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim}}{, \hat{a}_i, \ldots}, [a_i, a_s], \ldots, a_k),$$

$$X_3 =$$

$$+(a_0\psi(a_i, a_1, \ldots \underline{, \hat{a}_i, \ldots}, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_k))a_j$$

$$-(\psi(a_0 \circ a_i, a_1, \underset{\smile\smile\smile\smile\smile}{\ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots}, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_k))a_j$$

$$+((\psi(a_0, a_1, \ldots, \underset{\frown\frown\frown\frown\frown}{\hat{a}_i, \ldots,} \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_k)a_i)a_j$$

$$+\sum_{i<s, s\neq j} (\psi(a_0, a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \underset{\underline{\underline{\cdots\cdots}}}{\ldots,} a_{s-1}, [a_i, a_s], \ldots, a_k))a_j$$

$$+\sum_{j<s} a_0(\psi(a_i, a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, \underset{\equiv\,\equiv\,\equiv\,\equiv}{\hat{a}_j, \ldots}, a_{s-1}, [a_j, a_s], \ldots, a_k)),$$

$$X_4 =$$

$$-\sum_{j<s} \psi(a_0 \circ a_i, a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, \underset{\cong\,\cong\,\cong\,\cong}{\hat{a}_j, \ldots}, a_{s-1}, [a_j, a_s], \ldots, a_k)$$

$$+\sum_{j<s} (\psi(a_0, a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, \underset{\sim\,\sim\,\sim\,\sim}{\hat{a}_j, \ldots}, a_{s-1}, [a_j, a_s], \ldots, a_k))a_i$$

$$+\sum_{j<s, i<s_1, s<s_1} \psi(a_0, a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, \underset{\simeq\,\simeq\,\simeq\,\simeq}{a_{s-1}, [a_j}, a_s], \ldots, a_{s_1-1}, [a_i, a_{s_1}], \ldots, a_k)$$

$$+\sum_{j<s, i<s_1, s_1<s, s_1\neq j} \psi(a_0, a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, \ldots, a_{s_1-1}, \underset{-\,-\,-\,-}{[a_j, a_{s_1}}], \ldots, a_{s-1}, [a_i, a_s], \ldots, a_k)$$

$$+\sum_{j<s, (i<s_1, s_1=s)} \psi(a_0, a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \underset{=\,=\,=\,=}{\ldots,} a_{s-1}, [a_i, [a_j, a_s]], \ldots, a_k).$$

Analogously,

$$D_i D_{j-1}\psi(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_k) = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 + Y_4,$$

where

$$Y_1 = a_0(D_{j-1}\psi(a_i, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_k),$$
$$Y_2 = -D_{j-1}(a_0 \circ a_i, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_k),$$
$$Y_3 = D_{j-1}\psi(a_0, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_k)a_i,$$
$$Y_4 = \sum_{i<s} D_{j-1}\psi(a_0, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{s-1}, [a_i, a_s], \dots, a_k).$$

We have

$$Y_1 =$$
$$a_0(a_i\psi(a_j, a_1, \underline{\underline{\underline{\dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots}}}, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_k))$$
$$-a_0\psi(a_i \circ a_j, a_1, \underline{\underline{\dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots}}, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_k)$$
$$+a_0(\psi(a_i, a_1, \dots \underline{, \hat{a}_i, \dots}, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_k)a_j)$$
$$+\sum_{j<s} a_0\psi(a_i, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, \underset{\equiv\,\equiv\,\equiv\,\equiv}{\hat{a}_j, \dots}, a_{s-1}, [a_j, a_s], \dots, a_k),$$

$$Y_2 =$$
$$-(a_0 \circ a_i)\psi(a_j, a_1, \underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim}{\dots,} \hat{a}_i, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_k)$$
$$+\psi((a_0 \circ a_i) \circ a_j, \underset{\approx\approx\approx\approx\approx\approx}{a_1, \dots,} \hat{a}_i, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_k)$$
$$-\psi(a_0 \circ a_i, a_1, \underset{\smile\smile\smile\smile\smile}{\dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots}, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_k)a_j$$
$$-\sum_{j<s} \psi(a_0 \circ a_i, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, \underset{\cong\,\cong\,\cong\,\cong}{\hat{a}_j, \dots}, a_{s-1}, [a_j, a_s], \dots, a_k),$$

$$Y_3 =$$
$$(a_0\psi(a_j, a_1, \dots, \underline{\underline{\underline{\hat{a}_i, \dots}}}, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_k))a_i$$
$$-(\psi(a_0 \circ a_j, a_1, \dots, \underset{\underline{\underline{\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim}}}{\hat{a}_i, \dots,} \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_k))a_i$$
$$+((\psi(a_0, a_1, \dots, \underset{\frown\frown\frown\frown\frown}{\hat{a}_i, \dots,} \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_k)a_j)a_i$$
$$+\sum_{j<s} (\psi(a_0, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, \underset{\sim\,\sim\,\sim\,\sim}{\hat{a}_j, \dots}, a_{s-1}, [a_j, a_s], \dots, a_k))a_i.$$

Present Y_4 as a sum

$$Y_4 = Y_{4,1} + Y_{4,2} + Y_{4,3},$$

where

$$Y_{4,1} = \sum_{i<s<j} D_{j-1}\psi(a_0, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{s-1}, [a_i, a_s], \dots, a_k),$$
$$Y_{4,2} = D_{j-1}\psi(a_0, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{j-1}, [a_i, a_j], \dots, a_k),$$
$$Y_{4,3} = \sum_{j<s} D_{j-1}\psi(a_0, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{s-1}, [a_i, a_s], \dots, a_k).$$

These elements can be expressed in the following ways

$$Y_{4,1} =$$

$$\sum_{i<s<j} a_0(\psi(a_j, a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots \underline{\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim}{, a_{s-1},}} [a_i, a_s], \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_k))$$

$$- \sum_{i<s<j} \psi(a_0 \circ a_j, a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots \underset{\approx\approx\approx\approx}{, a_{s-1},} [a_i, a_s], \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_k)$$

$$+ \sum_{i<s<j} (\psi(a_0, a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, \underline{\underline{\underset{\cdots\cdots}{a_{s-1}, [}}}a_i, a_s], \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_k))a_j$$

$$+ \sum_{i<s_1<j<s} \psi(a_0, a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, a_{s_1-1}, [a_i, a_{s_1}\underset{----}{], \ldots}, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_{s-1}, [a_j, a_s], \ldots, a_k),$$

$$Y_{4,2} =$$

$$+ a_0(\psi([a_i, a_j], a_1\underline{\underline{, \ldots,}} \hat{a}_i, \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_k))$$

$$- \psi(a_0 \circ [a_i, a_j], a_1\underset{\approx\approx\approx\approx}{, \ldots,} \hat{a}_i, \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_k)$$

$$+ (\psi(a_0, a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i\underset{\frown\frown}{, \ldots,} \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_k))[a_i, a_j]$$

$$+ \sum_{j<s} \psi(a_0, a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, \hat{a}_j\underset{====}{, \ldots,} a_{s-1}, [[a_i, a_j], a_s], \ldots, a_k),$$

$$Y_{4,3} =$$

$$\sum_{j<s} a_0(\psi(a_j, a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots \underline{\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim}{, \hat{a}_j, \ldots}}, a_{s-1}, [a_i, a_s], \ldots, a_k))$$

$$- \sum_{j<s} \psi(a_0 \circ a_j, a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots \underset{\approx\approx\approx\approx}{, \hat{a}_j, \ldots}, a_{s-1}, [a_i, a_s], \ldots, a_k)$$

$$+ \sum_{j<s} (\psi(a_0, a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, \underline{\underline{\hat{a}_j, \ldots,}} a_{s-1}, [a_i, a_s], \ldots, a_k))a_j$$

$$+ \sum_{j<s<s_1} \psi(a_0, a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a\underset{----}{{}_{s-1}, [}a_i, a_s], \ldots, a_{s_1-1}, [a_j, a_{s_1}], \ldots, a_k)$$

$$+ \sum_{j<s} \psi(a_0, a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, \hat{a}_j\underset{====}{, \ldots,} a_{s-1}, [a_j, [a_i, a_s]], \ldots, a_k)$$

$$+ \sum_{j<s_1<s} \psi(a_0, a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a\underset{\simeq\simeq\simeq\simeq}{{}_{s_1-1}, [}a_i, a_{s_1}], \ldots, a_{s-1}, [a_j, a_s], \ldots, a_k)$$

Using right-symmetric identity for the expressions underlined, in similar ways we obtain that

$$D_j D_i = D_i D_{j-1}, \qquad i < j. \qquad \bullet$$

3.2. **Cohomologies of right-symmetric algebras and Cartan's formulas.** In the previous section we proved that $C^{*+1}_{rsym}(A,M) = \oplus_{k>0} C^k_{rsym}(A,M)$ is a cochain complex under coboundary operator d_{rsym}, such that

$$d_{rsym}\psi(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_k) =$$
$$-\sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^i a_0\circ(\psi(a_i,a_1,\dots,\hat{a}_i,\dots,a_k))$$
$$+\sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^i\psi(a_0\circ a_i,a_1,\dots,\hat{a}_i,\dots,a_k)$$
$$\sum_{1\le i<j\le k}(-1)^{i+1}\psi(a_0,a_1,\dots,\hat{a}_i,\dots,[a_i,a_j],\dots,a_k)$$
$$-\sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^i(\psi(a_0,a_1,\dots,\hat{a}_i,\dots,a_k))\circ a_i,$$
$$\psi\in C^k(A,M), 0<k.$$

For $m\in M$, define $d_{rsym}\in C^1_{rsym}(A,M)$,

$$d_{rsym}m(a) = a\circ m - m\circ a.$$

It is easy to see that

$$d^2_{rsym}m(a,b) =$$
$$a\circ d_{rsym}m(b) - d_{rsym}m(a\circ b) + d_{rsym}m(a)\circ b =$$
$$a\circ\underline{(b\circ m)} - a\circ\underline{\underline{(m\circ b)}} - \underline{(a\circ b)}\circ m + m\circ(a\circ b) + \underline{\underline{(a\circ m)}}\circ b - (m\circ a)\circ b =$$
$$(a,b,m)-(a,m,b)+m\circ(a\circ b)-(m\circ a)\circ b.$$

Thus, according to right-symmetric identity,

$$d^2_{rsym}m(a,b) = m\circ(a\circ b)-(m\circ a)\circ b. \qquad (1)$$

From this fact two conclusions follow. Firstly, taking *a subspace of left associative invariants*

$$M^{l.ass} = \{m\in M : (m,a,b)=0,\ \forall a,b\in A\}$$

as a 0-cochain subspace $C^0_{rsym}(A,M)$, we obtain *cochain complex*

$$C^*_{rsym}(A,M) = \oplus_{k\ge 0} C^k_{rsym}(A,M)$$

under coboundary operator d_{rsym}. The second conclusion will be discussed in the next section in the construction of standard 2-cocycles.

Let

$$Z^*_{rsym}(A,M) = \oplus_k Z^k_{rsym}(A,M),$$
$$Z^k_{rsym}(A,M) = \{\psi\in C^k_{rsym}(A,M) : d_{rsym}\psi = 0\},$$

be spaces of right-symmetric cocycles,

$$B^*_{rsym}(A,M)=\oplus_k B^k_{rsym}(A,M),$$

$$B^k_{rsym}(A,M)=\{d_{rsym}\omega:\omega\in C^{k-1}_{rsym}(A,M)\},$$

be spaces of right-symmetric coboundaries, and

$$H^*_{rsym}(A,M)=\oplus_k H^k_{rsym}(A,M),$$

$$H^k_{rsym}(A,M)=Z^k_{rsym}(A,M)/B^k_{rsym}(A,M),$$

be right-symmetry cohomology spaces.

Definitions. For any $x\in A$ the interior product endomorphism $i(x)$ of $C^*_{rsym}(A,M)$ is defined by

$$i(x):C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,M)\to C^k_{rsym}(A,M),$$

$$i(x)\psi(a_0,\dots,a_{k-1})=\psi(a_0,x,a_1,\dots,a_{k-1}),\quad k>0,$$

$$i(x)\psi=0,\quad \psi\in C^1_{rsym}(A,M).$$

Let $\rho_{lie}:A^{lie}\to C^{*+1}_{rsym}(A,M)$ be a representation of Lie algebra A^{lie} corresponding to antisymmetric representation

$$\rho_{rsym}:A\to C^{*+1}_{rsym}(A,M),\ \rho_{rsym}(x)\psi=0,\ \psi\rho_{rsym}(x)=\psi\circ x,$$

constructed in proposition 2.4,

$$(\rho_{lie}(x)\psi)(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_k)=$$

$$-a_0\circ\psi(x,a_1,\dots,a_k)+\psi(a_0\circ x,a_1,\dots,a_k)$$

$$-\psi(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_k)\circ x-\sum_{i=1}^{k}\psi(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_{i-1},[x,a_i],\dots,a_k).$$

Recall that

$$\rho_{lie}(x)\psi=-\psi\rho_{lie}(x)=-\psi\circ x=-\psi\rho_{rsym}(x).$$

Proposition 3.2. (Cartan's formulas) *Consider* $C^{*+1}_{rsym}(A,M):=\oplus_k C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,M)$ *as a* $A^{lie}-$ *module. For linear operators on* $C^{*+1}_{rsym}(A,M)$ *the following relations take place*

(i) $$i(x)D_l=D_{l-1}i(x),\ l>1,$$

(ii) $$i(x)D_1=-\rho_{lie}(x),$$

(iii) $$\rho_{lie}[x,y]=[\rho_{lie}(x),\rho_{lie}(y)],$$

(iv) $$[i(x),\rho_{lie}(y)]=-i([x,y]),$$

(v) $$d_{rsym}i(x)+i(x)d_{rsym}=-\rho_{lie}(x),$$

Proof. (i)

$$i(x)D_l\psi(a_0,\dots,a_{k-1}) =$$

$$D_l\psi(a_0,x,a_1,\dots,a_{k-1}) =$$

$$\begin{gathered}
a_0\circ\psi(a_{l-1},x,a_1,\dots,a_{\hat{l-1}},\dots,a_{k-1})\\
-\psi(a_0\circ a_{l-1},x,a_1,\dots,a_{\hat{l-1}},\dots,a_{k-1})\\
+\psi(a_0,x,a_1,\dots,a_{\hat{l-1}},\dots,a_{k-1})\circ a_{l-1}\\
+\sum_{l-1<j}\psi(a_0,x,a_1,\dots,a_{\hat{l-1}},\dots,a_{j-1},[a_{l-1},a_j],\dots,a_{k-1}) =
\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
a_0\circ i(x)\psi(a_{l-1},a_1,\dots,a_{\hat{l-1}},\dots,a_{k-1})\\
-i(x)\psi(a_0\circ a_{l-1},a_1,\dots,a_{\hat{l-1}},\dots,a_{k-1})\\
+i(x)\psi(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_{\hat{l-1}},\dots,a_{k-1})\circ a_{l-1}\\
+\sum_{l-1<j}i(x)\psi(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_{\hat{l-1}},\dots,a_{j-1},[a_i,a_j],\dots,a_{k-1}) =
\end{gathered}$$

$$D_{l-1}i(x)\psi(a_0,\dots,a_{k-1}).$$

(ii)

$$i(x)D_1\psi(a_0,\dots,a_{k-1}) =$$

$$D_1\psi(a_0,x,a_1,\dots,a_{k-1}) =$$

$$\begin{gathered}
a_0\circ\psi(x,a_1,\dots,a_{k-1})-\psi(a_0\circ x,a_1,\dots,a_{k-1})\\
+\psi(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_{k-1})\circ x+\sum_{0<j}\psi(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_{j-1},[x,a_j],\dots,a_{k-1}) =
\end{gathered}$$

$$(\psi\rho_{rsym}(x))(a_0,\dots,a_{k-1}).$$

(iii) Proposition 2.4.

(iv) We obtain

$$-\{(i(x)\rho_{lie}(y))\psi\}(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_{k-1}) =$$

$$(\psi\circ y)(a_0,x,a_1,\dots,a_{k-1}) =$$

$$\begin{gathered}
a_0\circ(\psi(y,\underline{x,a_1,}\dots,a_{k-1})-\psi(a_0\circ y,\underline{\underline{x,a_1,}}\dots,a_{k-1})\\
+\psi(a_0,x,a_{\underline{1},\dots,}a_{k-1})\circ y\\
+\psi(a_0,[x,y],a_1,\dots,a_{k-1})+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\psi(a_0,x,a_1,\dots,\utilde{a_{i-1},}[a_i,y],\dots,a_{k-1}),
\end{gathered}$$

and

$$-\{(\rho_{lie}(y)i(x))\psi\}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}) =$$

$$\{(i(x)\psi) \circ y\}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}) =$$

$$a_0 \circ \{(i(x)\psi)(y, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1})\} - (i(x)\psi)(a_0 \circ y, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1})$$

$$+\{(i(x)\psi)(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1})\} \circ y$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(i(x)\psi)(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, [a_i, y], \dots, a_{k-1}) =$$

$$a_0 \circ (\psi(y, \underline{x, a_1,} \dots, a_{k-1})) - \psi(a_0 \circ y, \underline{\underline{x, a_1, \dots}}, a_{k-1})$$

$$+\psi(a_0, x, \underset{- - - -}{a_1, \dots ,} a_{k-1}) \circ y$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\psi(a_0, x, a_1, \dots \utilde{, a_{i-1},} [a_i, y], \dots, a_{k-1}) \, .$$

Thus

$$\{(-i(x)\rho_{lie}(y) + \rho_{lie}(y)i(x))\psi\}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}) =$$

$$(i[x, y]\psi)(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}).$$

(v) According (i) and (ii),

$$i(x)d_{rsym} = i(x)D_1 + \sum_{l>1}(-1)^{l+1}i(x)D_l =$$

$$-\rho_{lie}(x) + \sum_{l>1}(-1)^{l+1}D_{l-1}i(x) =$$

$$-\rho_{lie}(x) - \sum_{l>0}(-1)^{l+1}D_l i(x).$$

Thus,

$$i(x)d_{rsym} + d_{rsym}i(x) = -\rho_{lie}(x).\bullet$$

3.3. **Long exact cohomological sequence.** The following theorem follows from standard homological results.

Theorem 3.3. *Let* A *by a right-symmetric algebra and*

$$0 \to M \to T \to S \to 0$$

be a short exact sequence of right-symmetric A*-modules. Then an exact sequence of right-symmetric cohomology spaces take place*

$$0 \to Z^0_{rsym}(A, M) \to Z^0_{rsym}(A, T) \to Z^0_{rsym}(A, S) \xrightarrow{\delta}$$

$$Z^1_{rsym}(A, M) \to Z^1_{rsym}(A, T) \to Z^1_{rsym}(A, S) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2_{rsym}(A, M) \to \cdots$$

$$\to H^k_{rsym}(A, M) \to H^k_{rsym}(A, T) \to H^k_{rsym}(A, S) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{k+1}_{rsym}(A, M) \to \cdots$$

Here δ *is a connected homomorphism:*

$$\delta[\psi] = [d_{rsym}\phi], \quad [\psi] \in H^k_{rsym}(A, S), k > 1,$$

$$\delta\psi_1 = [d_{rsym}\phi_1], \quad \psi_1 \in Z^i_{rsym}(A, S), i = 0, 1,$$

where $\phi \in Z^k_{rsym}(A, T)$ *is a representative of the cohomological class* $[\psi]$ *and* $\phi_1 \in Z^i_{rsym}(A, T)$ *moves to* ψ_1 *under a natural homomorphism* $Z^i_{rsym}(A, T) \to Z^i_{rsym}(A, S), i = 0, 1.$

Define a homomorphism $\nabla : S^{l.ass} \to Z^2_{rsym}(A, M)$, as a composition

$$\nabla : C^0_{rsym}(A, S) \overset{d_{rsym}}{\to} B^1_{rsym}(A, S) \xrightarrow{\delta} Z^2_{rsym}(A, M), \quad s \mapsto d_{rsym}s \mapsto \delta(d_{rsym}s).$$

Then

$$\nabla(\tilde{m})(a, b) = \tilde{m} \circ (a \circ b) - (\tilde{m} \circ a) \circ b.$$

In particular, there exist homomorphisms

$$\delta : S^{l.ass} \to Z^1_{rsym}(A, M), \quad \delta(s) : a \mapsto [a, s],$$

$$\delta : S^{l.inv} \to Z^1_{rsym}(A, M), \quad -\delta(s) : a \mapsto a \circ s.$$

3.4. **Connections between right-symmetric cohomologies and Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomologies.** Recall that for any $A^{lie}-$ module Q a standard representation $\varrho : A^{lie} \to C^*_{lie}(A, Q)$ is given by

$$\varrho(x)\psi(a_1, \ldots, a_k) =$$

$$[x, \psi(a_1, \ldots, a_k)] - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \psi(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, [x, a_i], \ldots, a_k),$$

where $(x, q) \mapsto [x, q]$ is representation corresponding to the Lie module Q and $\psi \in C^k_{lie}(A, Q)$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let A be a right-symmetric algebra and M be an $A-$ module.*
An operator

$$F : C^k_{lie}(A, C^1(A, M)) \to C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A, M), \quad k > 0, \tag{2}$$

defined by the rule

$$F\psi(a_0, a_1, \dots , a_k) = -\psi(a_1, \dots , a_{k-1})(a_0)$$

induces an isomorphism of $A^{lie}-$ modules. Moreover, F induces an isomorphism of cochain complexes $C^{*+1}_{rsym}(A, M)$ and $C^*_{lie}(A, C^1(A, M))$.
In particular,

$$H^{k+1}_{rsym}(A, M) \cong H^k_{lie}(A, C^1(A, M)),\ k > 0. \tag{3}$$

The following sequence is exact

$$0 \to Z^0_{rsym}(A, M) \to C^0_{rsym}(A, M) \to H^0_{lie}(A, C^1(A, M)) \to H^1_{rsym}(A, M) \to 0. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Prove that for any $x \in A,\ k > 0,$ the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C^k_{lie}(A, C^1(A, M)) \arrow[r, "\varrho(x)"] \arrow[d, "F"] & C^k_{lie}(A, C^1(A, M)) \arrow[d, "F"] \\
C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A, C^1(A, M)) \arrow[r, "\rho_{lie}(x)"] & C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A, M)
\end{tikzcd}$$

For $\psi \in C^k_{lie}(A, C^1(A, M))$ we have

$$F\{\varrho(x)\psi\}(a_0, a_1, \dots , a_{k+1}) = -\varrho(x)\psi(a_1, \dots , a_{k+1})(a_0) =$$

$$-\{x \circ (\psi(a_1, \dots , a_k))\}(a_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \psi(a_1, \dots , a_{i-1}, [x, a_i], \dots , a_k)(a_0) =$$

$$+\{(\psi(a_1, \dots , a_k)) \circ x\}(a_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \psi(a_1, \dots , a_{i-1}, [x, a_i], \dots , a_k)(a_0) =$$

$$-a_0 \circ \psi(x, a_1, \dots , a_k) + \psi(a_0 \circ x, a_1, \dots , a_k) - \psi(a_0, a_1, \dots , a_k) \circ x$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \psi(a_0, a_1, \dots , [x, a_i], \dots , a_k) =$$

$$-(\psi\rho_{rsym}(x))(a_0, a_1, \dots , a_k) =$$

$$\{(F\psi)\rho_{rsym}(x)\}(a_1, \dots , a_k)(a_0).$$

Thus, $F : C^k_{lie}(A, C^1(A,M)) \rightarrow C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,M)$ is a homomorpism of $A^{lie}-$ modules. It is evident that, F has no kernel and F is epimorphism.

Now, prove that for any $k \geq 0$ the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C^k_{lie}(A, C^1(A,M)) \arrow[r, "d_{lie}"] \arrow[d, "F"] & C^{k+1}_{lie}(A, C^1(A,M)) \arrow[d, "F"] \\
C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A, C^1(A,M)) \arrow[r, "d_{rsym}"] & C^{k+2}_{rsym}(A,M)
\end{tikzcd}$$

For $\psi \in C^k_{lie}(A, C^1(A,M))$,

$$F(d_{lie}\psi)(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}) = d_{lie}\psi(a_1, \dots, a_{k+1})(a_0) =$$

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k+1} (-1)^i \psi(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, [a_i, a_j], \dots, a_{k+1})(a_0)$$
$$-\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^i [a_i, \psi(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{k+1})](a_0) =$$
$$\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k+1} (-1)^{i+1} F\psi(a_0, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, [a_i, a_j], \dots, a_{k+1})$$
$$+\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^i d_{rsym}(\psi(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{k+1}))(a_0, a_i) =$$
$$\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k+1} (-1)^{i+1} F\psi(a_0, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, [a_i, a_j], \dots, a_{k+1})$$
$$+\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^i a_0 \circ (\psi(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{k+1}))(a_i)$$
$$-\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^i (\psi(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{k+1}))(a_0 \circ a_i)$$
$$+\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^i (\psi(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{k+1})(a_0)) \circ a_i =$$
$$\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k+1} (-1)^{i+1} F\psi(a_0, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, [a_i, a_j], \dots, a_{k+1})$$
$$-\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^i a_0 \circ (F\psi(a_i, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{k+1}))$$
$$+\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^i F\psi(a_0 \circ a_i, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{k+1})$$
$$-\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^i (F\psi(a_0, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{k+1})) \circ a_i$$

$$= d_{rsym}(F\psi)(a_0, a_1, \dots , a_{k+1}).$$

Thus we obtain the equivalence of cochain complexes $\oplus_{k>0} C^k_{lie}(A, C^1(A, M))$ and $\oplus_{k>1} C^k_{rsym}(A, M)$. In particular, an isomorphism (3) takes place.

Since, $H^1_{rsym}(A, M) = Z^1_{rsym}(A, M)/B^1_{rsym}(A, M)$, and

$$Z^1_{rsym}(A, M) = \{\psi \in C^1(A, M) : d_{rsym}\psi = 0\} = Z^0_{lie}(A, C^1(A, M)),$$

$$B^1_{rsym}(A, M) = \{d_{rsym}m : m \in M, (m, a, b) = 0, \forall a, b \in A\} = M^{l.ass}/M^{l.ass} \cap M^{inv},$$

we have the exactness of (4). •

Definition. Let $f : C^*_{rsym}(A, M) \to C^*_{lie}(A, M)$ be a linear operator, such that

$$f : C^k_{rsym}(A, M) \to C^k_{lie}(A, M),$$

$$f\psi(a_1, \dots , a_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{i+k+1}\psi(a_i, a_1, \dots , \hat{a}_i, \dots , a_k).$$

Introduce subspaces

$$\bar{C}^*_{rsym}(A, M) = \oplus_k \bar{C}^k_{rsym}(A, M),$$

$$\bar{C}^k_{rsym}(A, M) = \{\psi \in C^{k+2}_{rsym}(A, M) : f\psi = 0\},\ k \geq 0 \bullet$$

Theorem 3.5. *Let A be a right-symmetric algebra and M be an A-module. Then the operator $f : C^*_{rsym}(A, M) \to C^*_{lie}(A, M)$ is the homomophism of cochain complexes and the folllowing cohomological sequence*

$$0 \to Z^1_{rsym}(A, M) \to Z^1_{lie}(A, M) \xrightarrow{\delta}$$

$$\bar{H}^0_{rsym}(A, M) \to H^2_{rsym}(A, M) \to H^2_{lie}(A, M) \xrightarrow{\delta} \bar{H}^1_{rsym}(A, M) \to \cdots$$

$$\xrightarrow{\delta} \bar{H}^{k-2}_{rsym}(A, M) \to H^k_{rsym}(A, M) \to H^k_{lie}(A, M) \xrightarrow{\delta} \bar{H}^{k-1}_{rsym}(A, M) \to \cdots$$

is exact. A connected homomorphism

$$\delta : \bar{H}^k_{lie}(A, M) \to H^{k-1}_{rsym}(A, M)$$

is induced by homomorphism

$$\delta : \bar{Z}^k_{lie}(A, M) \to Z^{k-1}_{rsym}(A, M), \quad \psi \mapsto d_{rsym}\psi.$$

Proof. We will check that for $k > 0$ the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C^k_{rsym}(A,M) \arrow[r, "d_{rsym}"] \arrow[d, "f"] & C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,M) \arrow[d, "f"] \\
C^k_{lie}(A,M)) \arrow[r, "d_{lie}"] & C^{k+1}_{lie}(A,M)
\end{tikzcd}$$

For $\psi \in C^k_{rsym}(A,M)$, we have

$$f d_{rsym}\psi(a_1,\dots,a_{k+1}) =$$

$$\sum_s (-1)^{s+k} d_{rsym}\psi(a_s, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_s, \dots, a_{k+1}) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i<s}(-1)^{i+s+k+1} a_s \circ (\psi(a_i, a_1, \dots \underline{, \hat{a}_i, \dots} , \hat{a}_s, \dots, a_k))\\
&+\sum_{s<i}(-1)^{i+s+k} a_s \circ \psi(a_i, a_1, \dots \underline{\underline{, \hat{a}_s, \dots}} , \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{k+1})\\
&-\sum_{i<s}(-1)^{i+s+k+1} \psi(a_s \circ a_i, a_1, \dots \utilde{, \hat{a}_i, \dots} , \hat{a}_s, \dots, a_k))\\
&-\sum_{s<i}(-1)^{i+s+k} \psi(a_s \circ a_i, a_1, \dots \utilde{, \hat{a}_s, \dots} , \hat{a}_i. \dots, a_{k+1})
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\sum_{i<j<s}(-1)^{s+i+k}\psi(a_s, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, \underline{\underline{\utilde{a_{j-1}, [a_i}}}, a_j], \dots, \hat{a}_s, \dots, a_{k+1})$$

$$+\sum_{i<s<j}(-1)^{s+i+k}\psi(a_s, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, \utilde{\utilde{\hat{a}_s, \dots}}, a_{j-1}, [a_i, a_j], \dots, a_{k+1})$$

$$+\sum_{s<i<j}(-1)^{s+i+k+1}\psi(a_s, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_s, \dots, \underline{\underline{\underline{\hat{a}_i, \dots}}}, a_{j-1}, [a_i, a_j], \dots, a_{k+1})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{i<s}(-1)^{i+s+k+1}\psi(a_s, a_1, \dots, \underline{\hat{a}_i, \dots}, \hat{a}_s, \dots, a_k)) \circ a_i\\
&-\sum_{s<i}(-1)^{i+s+k}\psi(a_s, a_1, \dots, \underline{\underline{\hat{a}_s, \dots}}, \hat{a}_i. \dots, a_{k+1}) \circ a_i,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$d_{lie} f\psi(a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i<j}(-1)^i f\psi(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{j-1}, [a_i, a_j], \dots, a_{k+1})\\
&\qquad +\sum_i (-1)^i [f\psi(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{k+1}), a_i] =
\end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{s<i<j}(-1)^{i+s+k+1}\psi(a_s, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_s, \dots, \hat{a}_i\underline{\underline{\underline{, \dots,}}}\, a_{j-1}, [a_i, a_j], \dots, a_{k+1})$$

$$+\sum_{i<s<j}(-1)^{i+k+s}\psi(a_s, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, \hat{a}_s\utilde{\utilde{, \dots,}}\, a_{j-1}, [a_i, a_j], \dots, a_{k+1})$$

$$+(-1)^{i+j+k}\psi([a_i, a_j], a_1, \dots \utilde{, \hat{a}_i, \dots}\, , \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_{k+1})$$

$$+\sum_{i<j<s}(-1)^{i+k+s}\psi(a_s, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, \underline{\utilde{a_{j-1}, [}}a_i, a_j], \dots, \hat{a}_s, \dots, a_{k+1})$$

$$+\sum_{s<i}(-1)^{i+s+k}[\psi(a_s, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_s\underline{\underline{, \dots,}}\, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{k+1}), a_i]$$

$$+\sum_{i<s}(-1)^{i+s+k+1}[\psi(a_s, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i\underline{, \dots,}\, \hat{a}_s, \dots, a_{k+1}), a_i]$$

Thus, according to right-symmetric identity,

$$f d_{rsym}\psi = d_{lie} f\psi, \quad \forall \psi \in C^k_{rsym}(A, M), \quad \forall k > 0.$$

So, a short exact sequence of cochain complexes takes place

$$0 \to \oplus_{k>0}\bar{C}^k_{rsym}(A, M) \to \oplus_{k>0}C^k_{rsym}(A, M) \to \oplus_{k>0}C^k_{lie}(A, M) \to 0.$$

In particular, a long cohomological sequence

$$\bar{H}^0_{rsym}(A, M) \to H^2_{rsym}(A, M) \to H^2_{lie}(A, M) \to \cdots$$

$$\to \bar{H}^{k-2}_{rsym}(A, M) \to H^k_{rsym}(A, M) \to H^k_{lie}(A, M) \to \cdots$$

is exact. The exactness of the beginning part

$$0 \to Z^1_{rsym}(A, M) \to Z^1_{lie}(A, M) \to \bar{H}^0_{rsym}(A, M) \to H^2_{rsym}(A, M)$$

we check directly. It is clear that $d_{lie}\psi = 0$, if $d_{rsym}\psi = 0$, $\psi \in C^1(A, M)$. So, the natural homomophism $Z^1_{rsym}(A, M) \to Z^1_{lie}(A, M)$ is a monomorphism. Let $\delta\phi$, $\phi \in Z^1_{lie}(A, M)$, gives us a trivial class in $\bar{H}^0(A, M) = \bar{Z}^0_{rsym}(A, M)$. Then $\phi \in Z^1_{rsym}(A, M)$, since $\delta\phi = d_{rsym}\phi$. Suppose that $\sigma \in \bar{Z}^0_{rsym}(A, M)$ is a coboundary in $Z^2_{rsym}(A, M)$, say $\sigma = d_{rsym}\omega$, for some $\omega \in C^1_{rsym}(A, M)$. Then $d_{rsym}\omega(a, b) = \sigma(a, b) = \sigma(b, a) = d_{rsym}\omega(b, a)$, for any $a, b \in A$. This means that $d_{lie}\omega = 0$.

The theorem is proved completely. •

3.5. Cup product in right-symmetric cohomologies.

Theorem 3.6. *Assume that a cup product of $A-$ modules $\cup : M \times N \to S$ is given. Then a bilinear map*

$$C^{*+1}_{rsym}(A,M) \times C^*_{lie}(A,N) \to C^{*+1}_{rsym}(A,S),\ (\psi,\phi) \mapsto \psi \cup \phi,$$

defined by

$$C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,M) \times C^l_{lie}(A,N) \to C^{k+l+1}_{rsym}(A,S),\ (\psi,\phi) \mapsto \psi \cup \phi,$$

$$\psi \cup \phi(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_{k+l}) =$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in Sym_{k+l},\\ \sigma(1)<\cdots<\sigma(k),\\ \sigma(k+1)<\cdots<\sigma(k+l)}} sgn\,\sigma\,\psi(a_0,a_{\sigma(1)},\dots,a_{\sigma(k)})\cup\phi(a_{\sigma(k+1)},\dots,a_{\sigma(k+l)}).$$

is also a cup product:

$$(\alpha\cup\beta)\rho_{rsym}(x) = \alpha\rho_{rsym}(x)\cup\beta + \alpha\cup\beta\rho_{lie}(x),\ \ \forall \alpha \in C^{*+1}_{rsym}(A,M),\ \forall\beta \in C^*_{lie}(A,N).$$

Moreover,

$$d_{rsym}(\psi\cup\phi) = d_{rsym}\psi \cup \phi - (-1)^k \psi \cup d_{lie}\phi, \tag{5}$$

for any $\psi \in C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,M),\ \phi \in C^l_{lie}(A,N),\ \ k,l \geq 0.$

Proof. By theorem 3.4

$$F(\eta\cup\phi) = F(\eta)\cup\phi,$$

$$F(\alpha\rho_{lie}(x)) = (F\alpha)\rho_{rsym}(x),$$

for any $\eta \in C^k_{lie}(A, C^1(A,M)),\ \alpha \in C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,M),\ \phi \in C^l_{lie}(A,N),\ x \in A.$

Prolongate the cup product $M \times N \to S,\ (m,n) \mapsto m \cup n,$ of $A-$ modules to a cup product of A^{lie}-modules

$$C^1(A,M)^{lie} \times N^{lie} \to C^1(A,S)^{lie},$$

$$(f,n) \mapsto f \cup n,\ \ (f\cup n)(a) = f(a) \cup n.$$

Check the correctness of this definition:

$$((f\cup n)\circ(a))(b) =$$

$$d_{rsym}(f\cup n)(b,a) =$$

$$b\circ((f\cup n)(a)) - (f\cup n)(b\circ a) + ((f\cup n)(b))\circ a =$$

$$b\circ(f(a)\cup n) - f(b\circ a)\cup n + (f(b)\cup n)\circ a =$$

$$(b\circ f(a))\cup n - f(b\circ a)\cup n + (f(b)\circ a)\cup n + f(b)\cup[n,a] =$$

$$(d_{rsym}f(b,a)) \cup n + f(b) \cup [n,a] =$$

$$(f \circ a)(b) \cup n + f(b) \cup [n,a] =$$

$$((f \circ a) \cup n + (f \cup [n,a]))(b).$$

Thus, we have a cup product of Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complexes [**?**]

$$C^k_{lie}(A, C^1(A,M)) \times C^l_{lie}(A,N) \to C^{k+l}_{lie}(A, C^1(A,S))$$

$$\{(\eta \cup \phi)(a_1, \ldots, a_{k+l})\}(a_0) =$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in Sym_{k+l}, \\ \sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(k), \\ \sigma(k+1) < \cdots < \sigma(k+l)}} sgn\, \sigma \, \{\eta(a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(k)}) \cup \phi(a_{\sigma(k+1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(k+l)})\}(a_0).$$

We see that cup products for Chevalley-Eilenberg complexes and right-symmetric complexes are compatible. Namely,

$$(F\eta) \cup \phi = F(\eta \cup \phi), \tag{6}$$

for any $\eta \in C^k_{lie}(A, C^1(A,M))$, $\phi \in C^l_{lie}(A,N)$ (definition of isomorphism $F : C^k_{lie}(A, C^1(A,M)) \to C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,M)$ see (2)). Since, [**?**]

$$d_{lie}(\eta \cup \phi) = d_{lie}\eta \cup \phi + (-1)^k \eta \cup d_{lie}\phi,$$

accordingly (6),

$$d_{rsym}((F\eta) \cup \phi) = d_{rsym}F(\eta \cup \phi) =$$

$$F d_{lie}(\eta \cup \phi) = F(d_{lie}\eta \cup \phi + (-1)^k \eta \cup d_{lie}\phi) =$$

$$F d_{lie}\eta \cup \phi + (-1)^k F\eta \cup d_{lie}\phi =$$

$$d_{rsym}F\eta \cup \phi + (-1)^k F\eta \cup d_{lie}\phi.$$

By theorem 3.4 for any $\psi \in C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,M), k \geq 0,$ there exists $\eta \in C^k(A, C^1(A,M))$, such that $\psi = F\eta$. Hence, (5) is true. •

Corollary 3.7. *The cup product*

$$C^{*+1}_{rsym}(A,M) \times C^*_{lie}(A,N) \to C^{*+1}_{rsym}(A,S), \ \ (\psi,\phi) \mapsto \psi \cup \phi,$$

induces a cup product of cohomology spaces

$$H^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,M) \times H^l_{lie}(A,N) \to H^{k+l+1}_{rsym}(A,S), \ \ ([\psi],[\phi]) \mapsto [\psi \cup \phi], \ \ k > 0, l \geq 0.$$

$$Z^1_{rsym}(A,M) \times H^l_{lie}(A,N) \to H^{l+1}_{rsym}(A,S), \ \ (\psi,[\phi]) \mapsto [\psi \cup \phi], \ l \geq 0.$$

Proof.

$$Z^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,M)\cup Z^{l}_{lie}(A,N)\subseteq Z^{k+l+1}_{rsym}(A,S),\quad k,l\ge 0,$$

$$B^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,M)\cup Z^{l}_{lie}(A,N)\subseteq B^{k+l+1}_{rsym}(A,S),\quad k>0,\ l\ge 0,$$

$$Z^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,N)\cup B^{l}_{lie}(A,N)\subseteq B^{k+l+1}_{rsym}(A,S),\quad k,l\ge 0.\bullet$$

Notice that for any module M of right-symmetric algebra A and trivial A-module $\mathcal{K}$ there exists a natural cup product

$$M\times\mathcal{K}\to M,\quad (m,\lambda)\mapsto m\lambda.$$

So, we have a pairing of cohomology spaces

$$H^*_{rsym}(A,M)\times H^*_{lie}(A,\mathcal{K})\to H^*_{rsym}(A,M).$$

In particular, $H^*_{rsym}(A,M)$ has a natural structure of module over $H^*_{lie}(A,\mathcal{K})$. As it turned out in some cases $H^*_{rsym}(A,M)$ is a free $H^*_{lie}(A,\mathcal{K})$-module. In section 5 we will see that this is the case, if $A=gl_n^{rsym}$.

Denote by $\bar{M}$ antisymmetric A-module obtained from M by $\bar{r}_a=r_a-l_a,\bar{l}_a=0$. One can construct another cup product

$$\mathcal{K}\times M\to\bar{M},\quad \lambda\cup m=\lambda m.$$

We use this cup product in consideration of right-symmetric cohomologies for $A=W_n^{rsym}$, section 5.

4. Deformations of right-symmetric algebras.

4.1. **Deformation equations.** We will follow the Gerstenhaber theory of deformations of algebras [10]. Let A be a right-symmetry algebra over a field $\mathcal{K}$ of any characteristic p. Let $\mathcal{K}((t))$ be a fraction field for formal power series algebra $\mathcal{K}[[x]]$. Extend the main field $\mathcal{K}$ until $\mathcal{K}((t))$ and construct on the vector space $A\otimes\mathcal{K}((t))$ a new right-symmetric multiplication

$$\mu_t=\mu_0+t\mu_1+t^2\mu_2+\dots,$$

where

$$\mu_i\in C^2_{rsym}(A,M),\quad i=0,1,2,\dots,\ \text{and}\ \ \mu_0(a,b)=a\circ b.$$

The right-symmetric condition for μ_t in terms of μ_k can be regarded as the following *deformation equations*

$$\mu_1\in Z^2_{rsym}(A,A), \qquad (DFR.1)$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \mu_l \star \mu_{k-l} = -d_{rsym}\mu_k, \qquad (DFR.k)$$

$$k = 2, 3, \dots ,$$

where

$$(\psi\star\phi)(a,b,c) = \psi(a,\phi(b,c)) - \psi(\phi(a,b),c) - \psi(a,\phi(c,b)) + \psi(\phi(a,c),b),$$

$\psi, \phi \in C^2_{rsym}(A, M)$.

Right-symmetric deformations μ_t, and ν_t are said to be *equivalent,* if there exists a map

$$g_t = g_0 + tg_1 + tg_2 + \cdots , \quad g_k \in C^1_{rsym}(A, A), \ \ k = 0, 1, 2, \dots ,$$

with an identity map g_0, such that

$$g_t^{-1}(\mu_t(g_t(a), g_t(b))) = \nu_t(a, b), \ \forall a, b \in A.$$

In particular, for equivalent deformations μ_t, ν_t, should be

$$\nu_1 = \mu_1 + d_{rsym}g_1.$$

In other words the first deformation terms, so called *local deformations* will define equivalent 2-right-symmetry cohomology classes $[\mu_1] = [\nu_1]$.

Converse, suppose that there is given a 2-cocycle of right-symmetric algebra with coefficients in the regular module, $\psi \in Z^2_{rsym}(A, A)$, with a cohomology class $[\psi] \in H^2_{rsym}(A, A)$. One can take $\mu_1 := \psi$, and try to construct μ_k that will satisfy deformation equations. Evidently, (DFR.1) is true. We will say that *local deformation $\mu_1 = \psi$ can be prolongated to a global deformation until k-th term,* if there exist $\mu_2, \dots , \mu_k$, such that equations (DFR.k) are true. If this is the case for any $k > 0$, we will say that *local deformation μ_1 can be prolongated until global deformation μ_t* or, equivalently, that μ_t is global deformation or prolongation of μ_1. Set,

$$Obs_k(\psi) = \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \mu_l \star \mu_{k-l}.$$

Notice that the definition of $Obs_k(\psi)$ depends not only from ψ but, also from the first $k-1$ terms of deformation.

4.2. **Third cohomologies as obstruction.**

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that a local deformation $\mu_1 = \psi$ can be prolongated to a global deformation until $(k-1)$-th term. Then, $Obs_k(\psi) \in Z^3_{rsym}(A, A)$ and the prolongation of μ_1 until k-th term is possible, if and only if $[Obs_k(\psi)] = 0$.*

Proof. For $\alpha \in C^{k+1}(A,A), \beta \in C^{l+1}(A,A)$ define multiplications $\alpha * \beta \in C^{k+l+1}(A,A)$, $\alpha \smile \beta \in C^{k+l+2}(A,A)$ by

$$\alpha * \beta(a_1,\dots,a_{k+l+1}) =$$

$$\sum_{s=1}^{k+1}(-1)^{(s+1)l}\alpha(a_1,\dots,a_{s-1},\beta(a_{s+1},\dots,a_{s+l}),a_{s+l+1},\dots,a_{k+l+1}).$$

$$\alpha \smile \beta(a_1,\dots,a_{k+l+2}) =$$
$$\alpha(a_1,\dots,a_{k+1}) \circ \beta(a_{k+2},\dots,a_{k+l+2}).$$

Then,

$$\psi \star \phi(a,b,c) = \psi * \phi(a,c,b) - \psi * \phi(a,b,c), \quad \psi,\phi \in C^2(A,A),$$

and

$$d_{rsym}Obs_k(\psi)(a_0,a_1,a_2,a_3) =$$
$$\sum_{l+s=k,l>0,s>0}\sum_{\sigma\in Sym_3} sgn\,\sigma\, d_{ass}(\mu_l * \mu_s)(a_0,a_{\sigma(1)},a_{\sigma(2)},a_{\sigma(3)})$$

where d_{ass} means Hochshild coboundary operator as in associative algberas. By [[11], §7, Th.3],

$$d_{ass}\alpha * \beta = \alpha * d_{ass}\beta - d_{ass}\alpha * \beta - \alpha \smile \beta + \beta \smile \alpha, \quad \alpha,\beta \in C^2(A,A).$$

Notice that

$$\sum_{l+s=k,l>0,s>0} \mu_l \smile \mu_s - \mu_s \smile \mu_l = \sum_{l+s-k,l>0,s>0} \mu_l \smile \mu_s - \sum_{l+s-k,l>0,s>0} \mu_l \smile \mu_s = 0.$$

Hence, according to conditions (DFR. l), $l<k$,

$$d_{rsym}Obs_k(\psi)(a_0,a_1,a_2,a_3) =$$

$$\sum_{l+s=k,l>0,s>0}\sum_{\sigma\in Sym_3} sgn\,\sigma\, d_{ass}(\mu_l \smile \mu_s)(a_0,a_{\sigma(1)},a_{\sigma(2)},a_{\sigma(3)}) =$$

$$\sum_{l+s=k,l>0,s>0}\sum_{\sigma\in Sym_3} sgn\,\sigma\, \mu_l * d_{ass}\mu_s(a_0,a_{\sigma(1)},a_{\sigma(2)},a_{\sigma(3)})$$
$$-sgn\,\sigma\, d_{ass}\mu_l * \mu_s(a_0,a_{\sigma(1)},a_{\sigma(2)},a_{\sigma(3)}) =$$

$$\sum_{l+s=k,l>0,s>0}\sum_{\sigma\in Sym_3} sgn\,\sigma\, \mu_l(d_{ass}\mu_s(a_0,a_{\sigma(1)},a_{\sigma(2)}),a_{\sigma(3)})$$
$$-sgn\,\sigma\, \mu_l(a_0,d_{ass}\mu_s(a_{\sigma(1)},a_{\sigma(2)},a_{\sigma(3)}))$$
$$-sgn\,\sigma\, d_{ass}\mu_l(\mu_s(a_0,a_{\sigma(1)}),a_{\sigma(2)},a_{\sigma(3)})$$
$$+sgn\,\sigma\, d_{ass}\mu_l(a_0,\mu_s(a_{\sigma(1)},a_{\sigma(2)}),a_{\sigma(3)})$$
$$-sgn\,\sigma\, d_{ass}\mu_l(a_0,a_{\sigma(1)},\mu_s(a_{\sigma(2)},a_{\sigma(3)})) =$$

$$\sum_{l+s=k,l>0,s>0}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
\{\mu_l(d_{rsym}\mu_s(a_0,a_1,a_2),a_3)-\mu_l(d_{rsym}\mu_s(a_0,a_1,a_3),a_2)+\mu_l(d_{rsym}\mu_s(a_0,a_2,a_3),a_1)\\
-\mu_l(a_0,d_{rsym}\mu_s(a_1,a_2,a_3))+\mu_l(a_0,d_{rsym}\mu_s(a_2,a_1,a_3))-\mu_l(a_0,d_{rsym}\mu_s(a_3,a_1,a_2))\\
-d_{rsym}\mu_l(\mu_s(a_0,a_1),a_2,a_3)+d_{rsym}\mu_l(\mu_s(a_0,a_2),a_1,a_3)-d_{rsym}\mu_l(\mu_s(a_0,a_3),a_1,a_2)\\
+d_{rsym}\mu_l(a_0,\mu_s(a_1,a_2),a_3)-d_{rsym}\mu_l(a_0,\mu_s(a_2,a_1),a_3)\\
-d_{rsym}\mu_l(a_0,\mu_s(a_1,a_3),a_2)+d_{rsym}\mu_l(a_0,\mu_s(a_3,a_1),a_2)\\
+d_{rsym}\mu_l(a_0,\mu_s(a_2,a_3),a_1)-d_{rsym}\mu_l(a_0,\mu_s(a_3,a_2),a_1)\}=\\
S_1+S_2,
\end{gathered}$$

where

$$S_1=\sum_{l+s=k,l>0,s>0}\;\sum_{s_1+s_2=s,s_1,s_2>0}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
\{-\mu_l(\mu_{s_1}\star\mu_{s_2}(a_0,a_1,a_2),a_3)+\mu_l(\mu_{s_1}\star\mu_{s_2}(a_0,a_1,a_3),a_2)-\mu_l(\mu_{s_1}\star\mu_{s_2}(a_0,a_2,a_3),a_1)\\
+\mu_l(a_0,\mu_{s_1}\star\mu_{s_2}(a_1,a_2,a_3))-\mu_l(a_0,\mu_{s_1}\star\mu_{s_2}(a_2,a_1,a_3))+\mu_l(a_0,\mu_{s_1}\star\mu_{s_2}(a_3,a_1,a_2))\},
\end{gathered}$$

$$S_2=\sum_{l+s=k,l>0,s>0}\;\sum_{l_1+l_2=l,l_1,l_2>0}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
\{\mu_{l_1}\star\mu_{l_2}(\mu_s(a_0,a_1),a_2,a_3)-\mu_{l_1}\star\mu_{l_2}(\mu_s(a_0,a_2),a_1,a_3)+\mu_{l_1}\star\mu_{l_2}(\mu_s(a_0,a_3),a_1,a_2)\\
-\mu_{l_1}\star\mu_{l_2}(a_0,\mu_s(a_1,a_2),a_3)+\mu_{l_1}\star\mu_{l_2}(a_0,\mu_s(a_2,a_1),a_3)\\
+\mu_{l_1}\star\mu_{l_2}(a_0,\mu_s(a_1,a_3),a_2)-\mu_{l_1}\star\mu_{l_2}(a_0,\mu_s(a_3,a_1),a_2)\\
-\mu_{l_1}\star\mu_{l_2}(a_0,\mu_s(a_2,a_3),a_1)+\mu_{l_1}\star\mu_{l_2}(a_0,\mu_s(a_3,a_2),a_1)\}.
\end{gathered}$$

We have

$$S_1=\sum_{l+s=k,l>0,s>0}\;\sum_{s_1+s_2=s,s_1>0,s_2>0}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
\{-\mu_l(\mu_{s_1}*\underline{\mu_{s_2}(a_0,}\,a_1,a_2),a_3)+\mu_l(\mu_{s_1}*\underline{\underline{\mu_{s_2}(a_0,}}\,a_1,a_3),a_2)-\mu_l(\mu_{s_1}*\underset{-\,-\,-\,-}{\mu_{s_2}(a_0,}\,a_2,a_3),a_1)\\
+\mu_l(a_0,\mu_{s_1}\underline{*\mu_{s_2}(}a_1,a_2,a_3))-\mu_l(a_0,\mu_{s_1}\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim}{*\mu_{s_2}(}a_2,a_1,a_3))+\mu_l(a_0,\mu_{s_1}\underset{\underline{\sim\sim\sim\sim}}{*\mu_{s_2}(}a_3,a_1,a_2))\\
+\mu_l(\mu_{s_1}*\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim}{\mu_{s_2}(a_0,}\,a_2,a_1),a_3)-\mu_l(\mu_{s_1}*\underset{\underline{\sim\sim\sim\sim}}{\mu_{s_2}(a_0,}\,a_3,a_1),a_2)+\mu_l(\mu_{s_1}*\underset{\smile\smile\smile\smile}{\mu_{s_2}(a_0,}\,a_3,a_2),a_1)\\
-\mu_l(a_0,\mu_{s_1}\underline{\underline{*\mu_{s_2}(}}a_1,a_3,a_2))+\mu_l(a_0,\mu_{s_1}\underset{-\,-\,-\,-}{*\mu_{s_2}(}a_2,a_3,a_1))-\mu_l(a_0,\mu_{s_1}\underset{\smile\smile\smile\smile}{*\mu_{s_2}(}a_3,a_2,a_1))\}=
\end{gathered}$$

$$\sum_{l+s_1+s_2=k,l>0,s_1>0,s_2>0}\;\sum_{\sigma\in Sym_3}-sgn\,\sigma\,\mu_l*(\mu_{s_1}*\mu_{s_2})(a_0,a_{\sigma(1)},a_{\sigma(2)},a_{\sigma(3)}),$$

and

$$S_2 = \sum_{l+s=k, l>0, s>0} \ \sum_{l_1+l_2=l, l_1>0, l_2>0}$$

$$\{\mu_{l_1} * \mu_{l_2}(\underline{\mu_s(a_0,} a_1), a_2, a_3) - \mu_{l_1} * \mu_{l_2}(\underset{-\,-\,-\,-}{\mu_s(a_0,} a_2), a_1, a_3) + \mu_{l_1} * \mu_{l_2}(\utilde{\mu_s(a_0,} a_3), a_1, a_2)$$

$$- \mu_{l_1} * \mu_{l_2}(\underline{a_0, \mu_s(} a_1, a_2), a_3) + \mu_{l_1} * \mu_{l_2}(a_0, \underset{-\,-\,-\,-}{\mu_s(} a_2, a_1), a_3)$$

$$+ \mu_{l_1} * \mu_{l_2}(\underline{\underline{a_0, \mu_s(}} a_1, a_3), a_2) - \mu_{l_1} * \mu_{l_2}(\utilde{a_0, \mu_s(} a_3, a_1), a_2)$$

$$- \mu_{l_1} * \mu_{l_2}(\utilde{a_0, \mu_s(} a_2, a_3), a_1) + \mu_{l_1} * \mu_{l_2}(\underline{\utilde{a_0, \mu_s(}} a_3, a_2), a_1)$$

$$- \mu_{l_1} * \mu_{l_2}(\underline{\underline{\mu_s(a_0,}} a_1), a_3, a_2) + \mu_{l_1} * \mu_{l_2}(\utilde{\mu_s(a_0,} a_2), a_3, a_1) - \mu_{l_1} * \mu_{l_2}(\underline{\utilde{\mu_s(a_0,}} a_3), a_2, a_1)$$

$$+ \mu_{l_1} * \mu_{l_2}(\utilde{a_0, a_3,} \mu_s(a_1, a_2)) - \mu_{l_1} * \mu_{l_2}(\underline{\utilde{a_0, a_3,}} \mu_s(a_2, a_1))$$

$$- \mu_{l_1} * \mu_{l_2}(\underset{-\,-\,-\,-}{a_0, a_2,} \mu_s(a_1, a_3)) + \mu_{l_1} * \mu_{l_2}(\underline{\utilde{a_0, a_2,}} \mu_s(a_3, a_1))$$

$$+ \mu_{l_1} * \mu_{l_2}(\underline{a_0, a_1,} \mu_s(a_2, a_3)) - \mu_{l_1} * \mu_{l_2}(\underline{\underline{a_0, a_1,}} \mu_s(a_3, a_2))\} =$$

$$\sum_{l_1+l_2+s=k, l_1>0, l_2>0, s>0} sgn\, \sigma\, (\mu_{l_1} * \mu_{l_2}) * \mu_s(a_0, a_{\sigma(1)}, a_{\sigma(2)}, a_{\sigma(3)}),$$

Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in C^2(A, A)$. Then,

$$\{\alpha * (\beta * \gamma) - (\alpha * \beta) * \gamma\}(a, b, c, d) =$$

$$\alpha(\beta * \gamma(a, b, c), d) + \alpha(a, \beta * \gamma(b, c, d))$$
$$-\alpha * \beta(\gamma(a, b), c, d) + \alpha * \beta(a, \gamma(b, c), d) - \alpha * \beta(a, b, \gamma(c, d)) =$$

$$\alpha(\beta(\underline{\gamma(a, b),} c), d) - \alpha(\beta(\underline{\utilde{a, \gamma(b, c}})), d)$$
$$+ \alpha(a, \underline{\underline{\beta(\gamma(b, c}}), d)) - \alpha(a, \utilde{\beta(b, \gamma(c,} d)))$$

$$- \alpha(\beta(\underline{\gamma(a, b),} c), d) + \alpha(\gamma(a, b), \beta(c, d))$$
$$+ \alpha(\beta(\underline{\utilde{a, \gamma(b, c}})), d) - \alpha(a, \underline{\underline{\beta(\gamma(b, c}}), d))$$
$$-\alpha(\beta(a, b), \gamma(c, d)) + \alpha(a, \utilde{\beta(b, \gamma(c,} d))) =$$

$$-\alpha(\beta(a, b), \gamma(c, d)) + \alpha(\gamma(a, b), \beta(c, d)) =$$

So, for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in C^2(A, A)$,

$$\alpha * (\beta * \gamma + \gamma * \beta) - (\alpha * \beta) * \gamma - (\alpha * \gamma) * \beta = 0$$

For these reasons,

$$S_1 =$$

$$\sum_{s_1+s_2+s_3=k, s_1>0, s_2>0, s_3>0} \sum_{\sigma\in Sym_3} -sgn\,\sigma\,\mu_{s_1}*(\mu_{s_2}*\mu_{s_3})(a_0, a_{\sigma(1)}, a_{\sigma(2)}, a_{\sigma(3)}) =$$

$$-\sum_{l_1+l_2+l_3=k, l_1>0, l_2>0, l_3>0} sgn\,\sigma\,(\mu_{l_1} * \mu_{l_2}) * \mu_{l_3}(a_0, a_{\sigma(1)}, a_{\sigma(2)}, a_{\sigma(3)}) =$$

$$= -S_2.$$

So, we prove that $d_{rsym}Obs_k(\psi) = 0$, if $d_{rsym}Obs_l(\psi) = 0$, for any $0 < l < k$. •

Corollary 4.2. *If* $H^3_{rsym}(A, A) = 0$, *then any local deformation can be prolongated.*

4.3. **Steenrod squares.** Let $char\,k = p > 0$. In this subsection we recall Gerstenhaber's construction [10] of the homomorpism

$$Sq : Z^1_{rsym}(A, A) \to Z^2_{rsym}(A, A), \quad D \mapsto SqD$$

regarding the right-symmetric algebras. For any derivation $D \in Z^1_{rsym}(A, A)$ its p-th power D^p is also a derivation, $D^p \in Z^1_{rsym}(A, A)$. The proof is based on the following property of binomial coefficients: an integer $\binom{p}{a}$ can be divided into p, if $0 < a < p$. Then,

$$D^p(a \circ b) - D^p(a) \circ b - a \circ D^p(b) =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{i} D^i(a) \circ D^{p-i}(b) \equiv 0 (mod\, p).$$

In particular, we can consider integers $\binom{p}{i}/p$, $0 < i < p$ by modulus p and introduce 2-cocycle SqD with coefficients in the regular module

$$Sq\,D(a, b) = \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} D^i(a) \circ D^{p-i}(b)/i!(p - i)!.$$

This cocycle is called the Steenrod Square of derivation D and can be interpreted as an obstruction to prolongation of derivation to automorphism.

5. Calculations

5.1. **Standard 2-cocycles of right-symmetric algebras.** In this subsection we will give the second interpretation of the identity $d^3_{rsym}m = 0$, $m \in M$, mentioned in section 3.2.

Proposition 5.1. *i) Let* $\tilde{M}$ *be a module over right-symmetric algebra* A *and* M *is its submodule. Suppose that for* $\tilde{m} \in \tilde{M}$,

$$(\tilde{m}, a, b) \in M, \;\; \forall a, b \in A.$$

Then 2-cochain $\psi_{\tilde{m}} \in C^2(A, M)$ *defined by*

$$\psi_{\tilde{m}}(a, b) = \tilde{m} \circ (a \circ b) - (\tilde{m} \circ a) \circ b,$$

is symmetric 2-cocycle, $\psi_{\tilde{m}} \in \bar{Z}^2(A, M)$.
If $\tilde{m} \circ a \in M$, $\forall a \in A$, *then* $[\psi_{\tilde{m}}] = [\phi_{\tilde{m}}]$, *where*

$$\phi_{\tilde{m}}(a, b) = a \circ (\tilde{m} \circ b).$$

Notice that in the denotions of section 3.3, $\psi_{\tilde{m}} = \nabla(\tilde{m})$.
Proof.

$$\psi_{\tilde{m}}(a, b) = (m, a, b) = (m, b, a) = \psi_{\tilde{m}}(b, a),$$

$$d_{rsym}\psi_{\tilde{m}}(a, b, c) =$$

$$a\circ(\tilde{m}, b, c) - a\circ(\tilde{m}, c, b) - (\tilde{m}, a\circ b, c) + (\tilde{m}, a\circ c, b) + (\tilde{m}, a, [b, c]) - (\tilde{m}, a, b)\circ c + (\tilde{m}, a, c)\circ b =$$

$$-(\tilde{m}, a \circ b, c) + (\tilde{m}, a, b \circ c) - (\tilde{m}, a, b) \circ c$$

$$+(\tilde{m}, a \circ c, b) - (\tilde{m}, a, c \circ b) + (\tilde{m}, a, c) \circ b =$$

$$\tilde{m} \circ (a, b, c) - (\tilde{m} \circ a, b, c)$$

$$-\tilde{m} \circ (a, c, b) + (\tilde{m} \circ a, c, b) =$$

$$0.$$

If $\tilde{m} \circ a \in M$, then we can introduce a linear map $\omega : A \rightarrow M$, $a \mapsto \tilde{m} \circ a$. We obtain

$$\psi_{\tilde{m}}(a, b) + d_{rsym}\omega(a, b) =$$

$$\psi_{\tilde{m}}(a, b) - \omega(a \circ b) + \omega(a) \circ b + a \circ \omega(b) =$$

$$\psi_{\tilde{m}}(a, b) - \tilde{m} \circ (a \circ b) + (\tilde{m} \circ a) \circ b + a \circ (\tilde{m} \circ b) =$$

$$a \circ (\tilde{m} \circ b).$$

In other words, $\phi_{\tilde{m}} = \psi_{\tilde{m}} + d_{rsym}\omega$.$\bullet$

5.2. **Semi-center and derivations of W_n^{rsym} .** Suppose that A is an algebra with multiplications $(a,b)\mapsto a\circ b,$ and $(a,b)\mapsto a*b,$ such that the following conditions hold

$$a\circ(b\circ c)-(a\circ b)\circ c-a\circ(c\circ b)+(a\circ c)\circ b=0,$$

$$a*(b*c)-b*(a*c)=0,$$

$$a\circ(b*c)-b*(a\circ c)=0,$$

$$(a*b-b*a-a\circ b+b\circ a)*c=0,$$

$$(a\circ b-b\circ a)*c+a*(c\circ b)-(a*c)\circ b-b*(c\circ a)+(b*c)\circ a=0.$$

In particular, A is a right-symmetric algebra.

Let $Z_l(A)$ be the left center of A, $Q_l(A)$ is the left units space and $N_l(A)=Z_l(A)\oplus Q_l(A)$ is the left semi-center.

Theorem 5.2. *For $A=W_n^{rsym}$, if $p=0$, or $A=W_n(\mathbf{m})$, if $p>2$,*

$Z_l(A)=\{\partial_i : i=1,\dots,n\}\oplus\delta(p>0)\{\partial_i^{p^{k_i}-1} : 0<k_i<m_i, i=1,\dots,n\}\cong \mathcal{K}^n\oplus\delta(p>0)\mathcal{K}^{m-n},$

$$Q_l(A)=\{e=(1/n)\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i\partial_i\}\cong\mathcal{K},$$

$Z^1_{rsym}(A,A)=\{ad\,\partial_i, ad\,x_i\partial_j, \delta(p>0)\partial_i^{p^{k_i}} : i,j,=1,\dots,n, 0<k_i<m_i\}\cong \mathcal{K}^n\oplus gl_n\oplus\delta(p>0)\mathcal{K}^{m-n},$

where $m=\sum_{i=1}^n m_i$, and $\delta(p>0)=0$, if $p=0$ and =1, if $p>0$.

Proof. Any derivation of right-symmetric algebra A induces a derivation of Lie algebra A^{lie} :

$d_{rsym}(a,b)=0,\forall a,b\in A\Rightarrow d_{lie}f(a,b)=d_{rsym}f(a,b)-d_{rsym}(b,a)=0,\forall a,b\in A.$

The corresponding homomorphism $Z^1_{rsym}(A,A)\to Z^1_{lie}(A,A)$ is monomorphism. It is known, that all Lie derivations of W_n are inner, i.e. have a form $ad\,u\partial_i$, where $u\in U, i=1,\dots,n.$ In case of $W_n(\mathbf{m}), p>0$, outer derivations $\partial_i^{p^{k_i}}, 0<k_i<m_i, i=1,\dots,m_i,$ appear. Since

$$ad\,a(b\circ c)-ad\,a(b)\circ c-b\circ ad\,a(c)=$$

$$a\circ(b\circ c)-(b\circ c)\circ a-(a\circ b)\circ c+(b\circ a)\circ c-b\circ(a\circ c)+b\circ(c\circ a)=$$

$$a\circ(b\circ c)-(a\circ b)\circ c,$$

Lie derivation $ad\,a : b\mapsto[a,b]:=a\circ b-b\circ a,$ is a right-symmetric derivation, if and only if

$$a\in A^{l.ass}.$$

It is easy to see that,

$$u\partial_i\circ(v\partial_j\circ w\partial_s)-(u\partial_i\circ v\partial_j)\circ w\partial_s=\partial_j\partial_s(u)vw\partial_i.$$

Therefore,

$$A^{l.ass} = \{u\partial_i : \partial_j\partial_s(u) = 0, \forall i, j = 1, \ldots, n\} = \{\partial_i, x_i\partial_j : i, j = 1, \ldots, n\}.$$

In case of $p > 0$, direct calculations show that $\partial_i^{p^{k_i}} \in Z^1_{rsym}(A, A)$. Other statements of the theorem are evident. •

5.3. Pairing of $W_n^{rsym}-$modules and cocycle constructions.

Theorem 5.3. *Let* $A = W_n, p = 0,$ *or* $A = W_n(\mathbf{m}), p > 3$. *The space* $H^2_{rsym}(A, A), p = 0,$ *has a basis consisting of cocycle classes of four types* $\psi^1_{s,l,r}, \psi^2_{l,r}, \psi^3_{s,l}, \psi^4_l$, $s, l, r = 1, \ldots, n$, *such that*

$$\psi^1_{s,l,r}(u\partial_i, v\partial_j) = \delta_{j,r}x_r^{-1}(\delta_{i,s}uv\partial_l - x_s\partial_l(u)v\partial_i),$$
$$\psi^2_{l,r}(u\partial_i, v\partial_j) = \delta_{j,r}x_r^{-1}\partial_l(u)v\partial_i,$$
$$\psi^3_{s,l}(u\partial_i, v\partial_j) = (\delta_{i,s}u\partial_j(v)\partial_l - x_s\partial_l(u)\partial_j(v)\partial_i),$$
$$\psi^4_l(u\partial_i, v\partial_j) = \partial_l(u)\partial_j(v)\partial_i.$$

In the case of $p > 3$, *the space* $H^2_{rsym}(A, A)$ *has a basis with cohomological classes of the following cocycles of five types.*

$$\psi^1_{s,l,r}(u\partial_i, v\partial_j) = \delta_{j,r}x_r^{p^{m_r}-1}(\delta_{i,s}uv\partial_l - x_s\partial_l(u)v\partial_i),$$
$$\psi^2_{l,k_l,r}(u\partial_i, v\partial_j) = \delta_{j,r}x_r^{p^{m_r}-1}\partial_l^{p^{k_l}}(u)v\partial_i,$$
$$\psi^3_{s,l}(u\partial_i, v\partial_j) = (\delta_{i,s}u\partial_j(v)\partial_l - x_s\partial_l(u)\partial_j(v)\partial_i),$$
$$\psi^4_{l,k_l}(u\partial_i, v\partial_j) = \partial_l^{p^{k_l}}(u)\partial_j(v)\partial_i,$$
$$\psi^5_{l,k_l} = Sq\,\partial_l^{p^{k_l}},$$

where $s, l, r = 1, \ldots, n,$ $0 \le k_l < m_l$.

The proof is based on the following observations.

Suppose that $A-$module M preserve the action of $N_l(A)$:

$$z \circ m = 0, \forall z \in Z_l(A), \quad e \circ m = m, \forall e \in Q_l(A),$$

for any $m \in M$. Define an operator

$$C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A, M) \to C^k_{rsym}(A, M),$$
$$i_0(a)\psi(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = \psi(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_k)$$

and an operator

$$T : C^k_{rsym}(A, A) \to C^{k+1}_{rsym}(A, A),$$
$$T\psi(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{i+k}a_i * \psi(a_0, a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, a_k).$$

Then

$$Td_{rsym} = d_{rsym}T,$$

and for any $a \in N_l(A), \psi \in Z^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,A)$,

$$i_0(a)\psi \in Z^k_{lie}(A, A_{anti}).$$

Define a pairing of regular $A-$ module A and antisymmetric $A-$ module U :

$$A \times U \to A, \quad u\partial_i \cup v = uv\partial_i.$$

Notice that,

$$A_{anti} \cong U \otimes Z_l(A).$$

In particular, we have pairing

$$A \times A_{anti} \to A, \;\; u\partial_i \cup v\partial_j = uv\partial_i.$$

Therefore we have imbedding

$$Z^1_{rsym}(A,A) \times H^k_{lie}(A,U) \to H^{k+1}_{rsym}(A,A).$$

Notice that the four types of cocycles mentioned above can be obtained from $Z^1_{rsym}(A,A)$ (see section 5.2) and $H^1_{lie}(A,U)$, by pairing $\psi \cup \phi$, $\psi \in Z^1_{rsym}(A,A)$, $\phi \in H^1_{lie}(A,U)$. Recall that $H^1_{lie}(A,U)$ can be generated by the classes of cocycles $u\partial_i \mapsto ux_r^{-1}\delta_{i,r}$, and $u\partial_i \mapsto \partial_i(u)$.

Another interpretation of cocycles of types 1 and 2 can be given in terms of standard cocycles (see section 5.1). For simplicity consider only the case of $p = 0$. We have

$$\psi^1_{s,l,r} = d\omega_{s,l,r}, \text{for} \quad \omega_{s,l,r}(u\partial_i) = \ln x_r[x_s\partial_l, u\partial_i],$$

$$\psi^2_{l,r} = d\omega_{l,r}, \text{for} \quad \omega_{l,r}(u\partial_i) = \ln x_r\partial_l(u)\partial_i,$$

and

$$\nabla(x_s \ln x_r\partial_l)(u\partial_i, v\partial_j) = \partial_i\partial_j(x_s \ln\, x_r)uv,$$

$$\nabla(\ln x_r\partial_l)(u\partial_i, v\partial_j) = -\delta_{i,r}\delta_{j,r}uv\partial_l.$$

Therefore,

$$[\psi^1_{s,l,r}] = [\nabla(x_s \ln x_r\partial_l)],$$

$$[\psi^2_{l,r}] = [\nabla(\ln x_r\partial_l)],$$

because of

$$\psi^1_{s,l,r} = \nabla(x_s \ln x_r\partial_l) - d^{rsym}\omega^1_{s,l,r}, \text{for } \omega^1_{s,l,r} \in C^1_{rsym}(W_n, W_n), \;\; \omega^1_{s,l,r}(u\partial_i) = \delta_{i,r}x_r^{-1}x_su\partial_l,$$

$$\psi^2_{l,r} = \nabla(\ln x_r\partial_l) - d^{rsym}\omega^2_{l,r}, \text{for } \omega^2_{l,r} \in C^1_{rsym}(W_n, W_n), \;\; \omega^2_{l,r}(u\partial_i) = \delta_{i,r}x_r^{-1}u\partial_l.$$

Theorem 5.4. *Let* $A = W_1^{rsym}$, *if* $p = 0$, *and* $A = W_1(m)$, *if* $p > 3$. *Then* $H^2_{rsym}(A, A)$ *has dimension 4, if* $p = 0$, *and cohomological classes of the following cocycles generate a basic*

$$\psi^1(u\partial, v\partial) = x^{-1}uv\partial,$$

$$\psi^2(u\partial, v\partial) = x^{-1}\partial(u)v\partial,$$

$$\psi^3(u\partial, v\partial) = (u - x\partial(u))\partial(v)\partial,$$

$$\psi^4(u\partial, v\partial) = \partial(u)\partial(v)\partial.$$

(Recall that, $\partial = \partial_x$, *for* $n = 1.$ *).*

For $A = W_1(m), p > 3$, *the group* $H^2_{rsym}(A, A)$ *is* $(3m{+}2)-$ *dimensional and the classes of the following cocycles generate its basis*

$$\psi^1(u\partial, v\partial) = x^{p^m-1}uv\partial,$$

$$\psi^2_k(u\partial, v\partial) = x^{p^m-1}\partial^{p^k}(u)v\partial, \quad 0 \le k < m,$$

$$\psi^3(u\partial, v\partial) = (u - x\partial(u))\partial(v)\partial,$$

$$\psi^4_k(u\partial, v\partial) = \partial^{p^k}(u)\partial(v)\partial, \quad 0 \le k < m.$$

$$Sq\,D : (a, b) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} D^i(a) \circ D^{p-i}(b)/(i!(p-i)!), \ D = \partial^{p^k}, 0 \le k < m.$$

Cocycles of types 1 and 2 are also Novikov cocycles. Local deformation $\psi = \sum_{i=1}^4 t_i\psi^i, p = 0$, *can be prolongated if and only if* $t_1t_3 = 0, t_2t_4 = 0.$

5.4. **Right-symmetric central extensions of Novikov algebras.**

Let A be a Novikov algebra, $R \in Der_0\, A := \{D \in Der\, A : a \circ R(b) = b \circ R(a), \ \forall a, b \in A\}$, and $\pi : A \to \mathcal{K}$, a linear map, such that

$$\pi(R(a)) = 0, \ \ \forall a \in A.$$

Define $\psi \in C^2_{rsym}(A, \mathcal{K})$, by

$$\vartheta(a, b) = \pi(a \circ R(b)).$$

Lemma 5.5. $\vartheta \in \bar{Z}^2_{rsym}(A, \mathcal{K})$.

Proof. Since, $a \circ R(b) = b \circ R(a)$, then

$$\vartheta(a, b) = \vartheta(b, a).$$

We have

$$d_{rsym}\vartheta(a, b, c) =$$

$$\pi(-\,(a \underline{\circ\, b) \circ} R(c) + (a \underline{\underline{\circ\, c) \circ}} R(b) + a \circ R[b, c]) =$$

$$-(a \circ R(c)) \circ b - a \circ \utilde{[b, R(}c)]$$

$$+(a\circ R(b))\circ c+a\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim}{[c,R(b)]}+a\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim}{\circ R[b,c]})=$$

$$\pi(-(a\circ R(c))\circ b+(a\circ R(b))\circ c)=$$

$$\pi(-R((a\circ c)\circ b)+(R(a)\circ c)\circ b+(a\circ c)\circ R(b)$$
$$+(a\circ R(b))\circ c)=$$

$$\pi((R(a)\circ c)\circ b+b\circ R(a\circ c)+(a\circ R(b))\circ c)=$$

$$\pi((R(a)\circ c)\circ b+b\circ(R(a)\circ c)+b\circ(a\circ R(c))+(a\circ R(b))\circ c)=$$

$$\pi((R(a)\circ c)\circ b+R(\underset{-\,-\,-\,-}{a)\circ(b}\circ c)+a\circ\underset{-\,-\,-\,-}{(b\circ R(c}))+(a\circ R(b))\circ c)=$$

$$\pi((R(a)\circ c)\circ b+R(a\circ(b\circ c))-a\circ\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim}{(R(b)\circ}c)+(a\circ\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim}{R(b))}\circ c)=$$

$$\pi((R\underset{\smile\smile\smile\smile}{(a)\circ c)}\circ b-a\circ(c\circ R(b))+(a\circ\underset{\smile\smile\smile\smile}{c)\circ}R(b))=$$

$$\pi(-a\circ(c\circ R(b))+R((a\circ c)\circ b)-(a\circ R(c))\circ b)=$$

$$\pi(-a\circ(c\circ R(b))-(a\circ R(c))\circ b)=$$

$$\pi(-R(a\circ(c\circ b))+R(a)\circ(c\circ b)+a\circ\underline{\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim}{(R(c)\circ}}b)-(a\circ\underline{\underset{\sim\sim\sim\sim}{R(c))}}\circ b)=$$

$$\pi(R(a)\circ(c\circ b)+a\circ(b\circ R(c))-(a\circ b)\circ R(c))=$$

$$\pi(c\circ(R(a)\circ b)+a\circ(c\circ R(b))-(a\circ b)\circ R(c))=$$

$$\pi(c\circ(R(a)\circ b)+c\circ(a\circ R(b))-(a\circ b)\circ R(c))=$$

$$\pi(c\circ R(a\circ b)-(a\circ b)\circ R(c))=$$

$$0.$$

So, $\vartheta\in\bar{Z}^2_{rsym}(A,\mathcal{K})$. •

In particular, algebras

$$A=W_1^{rsym}=\{e_i:e_i\circ e_j=(i+1)e_{i+j},\ \ i,j\in\mathbf{Z}\},\ p=0,$$

$A = W_1^{rsym}(m) = \{e_i : e_i \circ e_j = \binom{i+j+1}{i} e_{i+j},\ -1 \le i,j \le p^m - 1\},\ p > 0,$

have right-symmetric 2-cocycles with coefficients in the trivial A-module $\mathcal{K}$. Prove that, the cohomological class of the cocycle ϑ in both cases is not trivial. If $\vartheta = d_{rsym}\omega,\ \omega \in C^1(N, \mathcal{K})$, then

$$\vartheta(e_i, e_j) = -(j+1)j\delta_{i+j,-1},\ \text{if}\, p = 0,$$
$$\vartheta(e_i, e_j) = -(-1)^i \delta_{i+j,p^m-1},\ \text{if}\, p > 0,$$

$$d_{rsym}\omega(e_i, e_j) = -(i+1)\omega(e_{i+j}),\quad \text{if}\, p = 0,$$
$$d_{rsym}\omega(e_i, e_j) = -\binom{i+j+1}{i})\omega(e_{i+j}),\quad \text{if}\, p > 0.$$

In the case of $p = 0$ we have a contradiction:

$$-2 = \vartheta(e_{-2}, e_1) = d_{rsym}(e_{-2}, e_1) = -\omega(e_{-1}),$$
$$-6 = \vartheta(e_{-3}, e_2) = d_{rsym}(e_{-3}, e_2) = -2\omega(e_{-1}).$$

Since, $d_{rsym}\omega(e_i, e_{p^m-i-1}) = 0$, we also obtain a contradiction, if $p > 0$.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $A = W_1^{rsym}$, if $p = 0$ and $A = W_1(m)$, if $p > 0$. Then the second right-symmetric cohomology space $H^2_{rsym}(A, \mathcal{K})$ has dimension 1 and generates by a class of cocycles*

$$\vartheta(e_i, e_j) = -(j+1)j\delta_{i+j,-1},\ \textit{if} \quad p = 0,$$
$$\vartheta(e_i, e_j) = -(-1)^i \delta_{i+j,p^m-1},\ \textit{if} \quad p > 0.$$

If A is considered as a Novikov algebra, then any Novikov central extension is split: $H^2_{nov}(W_1, \mathcal{K}) = 0$.

Recall that Novikov cohomologies are defined in [1]. Central extensions of Cartan Type Lie algebras are described in [4]

Proof. For $u \in U = \mathcal{K}[[x^{\pm 1}]]$ let $\pi(u)$ be its coefficient at x^{-1}. Then $\pi(\partial(u)) = 0,\ \forall u \in U$. Recall that $e_i = x^{i+1},\ i \in \mathbf{Z}$, and the multiplication in A is given by $a \circ b = \partial(a)b,\ a, b \in U$.

Prove that an isomorphism of A^{lie}-modules takes place

$$C^1(A, \mathcal{K}) \cong U_1. \tag{7}$$

A bilinear map

$$(\ ,\ ) : U_0 \times U_1 \to \mathcal{K},\ \ (u, v) \mapsto \pi(u \cdot v),$$

is compatible with the action of A^{lie} :

$$((a)_0(u), v) + (u, (a)_1(v)) =$$
$$\pi(-(u \circ a) \cdot v - u \cdot (v \circ a) - u \cdot (a \circ v)) =$$
$$\pi(-\partial(a \cdot (u \cdot v))) = 0,$$

for all $a \in A, u \in U_0, v \in U_1$. So, we have a pairing of A^{lie}-modules $(\ ,\ ) : U_0 \times U_1 \to \mathcal{K}$. This pairing is nondegenerate. Thus, a dual A^{lie}-module to U_0 is U_1. Since,

$$(f \circ a)(b) = d_{rsym} f(b,a) = -f(b \circ a), \quad f \in C^1(N,k), a, b \in N,$$

we see that the A^{lie}-module $C^1(A,\mathcal{K})$ is isomorphic to the dual of U_0. This ends the proving of (7).

By theorem 3.4

$$H^2_{rsym}(A,\mathcal{K}) \cong H^1_{lie}(A, C^1(A,\mathcal{K})) \cong H^1_{lie}(W_1, U_1).$$

By the resutls of Gelfand and Fuchs [7] the space $H^1_{lie}(W_1,U_1)$ is 1-dimensional and generates by a class of cocycle $a \mapsto \partial^2(a)$. An analogous statement is also true in the case of $p > 0$ [5]. The corresponding right- symmetric cocycle is the cocycle ϑ.

If $\psi : A \times A \to \mathcal{K}$ is a cocycle for central extension in the category of Novikov algebras, then

$$\psi(a, b \circ c) - \psi(b, a \circ c) = 0, \quad \forall a, b, c \in A.$$

The algebra $A = W_1^{nov}$ has an element e_0 that has the property $e_0 \circ c = c$, for any $c \in A$. Take $a := e_0$. We have

$$\psi(e_0, b \circ c) = \psi(b, e_0 \circ c) = \psi(b, c), \quad \forall b, c \in A.$$

Therefore, for $\omega \in C^1_{nov}(A,\mathcal{K}) = C^1(A,\mathcal{K})$, such that $\omega(a) = -\psi(e_0, a)$, we have

$$\psi(b,c) = -\omega(b \circ c) = d_{nov}\omega(b,c).$$

Recall that, $d_{nov}\phi = d_{right}\phi$, for any $\phi \in C^1(A,\mathcal{K})$. So, any 2-cocycle of W_1^{nov} (in sense of Novikov) with coefficients in the trivial module, is a coboundary. $\bullet$

5.5. **Cohomologies of W_n^{rsym} in an antisymmetric module.** Recall that a multiplication in W_n^{rsym} is given by $a\partial_i \circ b\partial_j = b\partial_j(a)\partial_i$, where $a, b \in U = \mathcal{K}[[x^{\pm 1}, \dots, x^{\pm 1}]]$. Endow U by a structure of antisymmetric W_n^{rsym}-module: $u \circ a\partial_i = a\partial_i(u)$.

Let $\Omega_n = \{u\, dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n : u \in U\}$ be an antisymmetric W_n^{rsym}-module of n-dimensional differential forms:

$$(u\, dx_1 \wedge \cdots dx_n) \circ a\partial_i = \partial_i(au)\, dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n.$$

Let M be W_n^{rsym}-module. Construct a cup product of W_n^{rsym}-modules

$$U \times \Omega^n \otimes M \to \bar{M}, \quad (u \cup v\, dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n \otimes m) = \pi(uv)m, \tag{8}$$

where $\pi(u)$ for $u \in U$ denotes a coefficient of u at $x_1^{-1} \dots x_n^{-1}$. Recall that $\bar{M}$ is an antisymmetric A-module corresponding to M, such that $\bar{r}_a = r_a - l_a, \bar{l}_a = 0$. Notice that

$$\pi(der_i(u)) = 0, \ \ \forall u \in U, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{gathered}
(u \circ a\partial_i) \cup (v\, dx_1 \wedge \cdots dx_n \ \otimes m) + u \cup [v\, dx_1 \wedge \cdots dx_n \ \otimes m, a\partial_i] = \\
a\partial_i(u) \cup (v\, dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n \otimes m) + u \cup (\partial_i(av)\, dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n \otimes m) \\
+ u \cup v\, dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n \otimes [m, a\partial_i] = \\
\pi(a\partial_i(u)v + u\partial_i(av))\, m + \pi(uv)\, [m, a\partial_i] = \\
\pi(\partial_i(auv)) + \pi(uv)\, [m, a\partial_i] = \\
(u \cup v\, dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n) \otimes [m, a\partial_i] = \\
(u \cup v\, dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n \otimes m) \circ a\partial_i.
\end{gathered}$$

and the definition of the cup product (8) is correct.

Theorem 5.7. *Let M be an antisymmetric W_n^{rsym}-module. The cup product of W_n^{rsym}-modules (8) induces an isomorphism*

$$H^{k+1}_{rsym}(W_n, M) \cong Z^1_{rsym}(W_n, U) \otimes H^k_{lie}(W_n, \Omega^n \otimes M), \ \ k > 0.$$

An isomorphism takes place

$$Z^1_{rsym}(W_n, U) \cong B^1_{rsym}(W_n, U) = \{dx_i : i = 1, \dots, n\} \cong \wedge^1.$$

Proof. We will argue as in the previos subsection. For a W_n-module M its dual module is denoted by M'. Consider $\wedge^1 = \{dx_1, \dots, dx_n\}$ as a trivial module over Lie algebra W_n. Endow $U \otimes \wedge^1$ by a structure of W_n-module using a natural W_n-module structure on $U = \mathcal{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ and a trivial W_n-module structure on $\wedge^1$:

$$(u \otimes dx_i)b\partial_j = b\partial_j(u) \otimes dx_i.$$

It is easy to see that,

$$C^1(W_n, \mathcal{K}) \cong \wedge^1 \otimes U',$$

since for any $f \in C^1(W_n, \mathcal{K})$,

$$[f, a\partial_i](b\partial_j) = (f \circ a\partial_i)(b\partial_j) = -f(a\partial_i(b)\partial_j).$$

The bilinear map (8) is nondegenerate and gives a pairing of modules over Lie algebra W_n. So,

$$U' \cong \Omega_n.$$

Therefore, an isomorphism of W_n-modules takes place:

$$C^1(W_n, \mathcal{K}) \cong \wedge^1 \otimes \Omega_n, \ \ C^1(W_n, M) \cong \wedge^1 \otimes \Omega_n \otimes M,$$

and by theorem 3.4

$$H^{k+1}_{rsym}(W_n, M) \cong \wedge^1 \otimes H^k_{lie}(W_n, \Omega^n \otimes M), \quad k > 0. \bullet$$

Corollary 5.8. $H^{k+1}_{rsym}(W_n, \mathcal{K}) \cong \wedge^1 \otimes H^k_{lie}(W_n, \Omega^n), \quad k > 0.$

Recall that $H^*_{lie}(W_n, \Omega^*)$ is calculated by Gelfand and Fuchs [7].

5.6. **Cohomologies of gl_n^{rsym} in an antisymmetric module.**

Theorem 5.9. *Let* $A = gl_n^{rsym}$, $char\, k = 0$. *Let* M *be a finite-dimensional* A*-module, such that a* A^{lie}*-module* M *is a tensor module. Then the cup product* $M \times \mathcal{K} \to M$, $m \cup \lambda = \lambda m$, *induces an isomorphism*

$$H^{k+1}_{rsym}(A, M) \cong Z^1_{rsym}(A, M) \otimes H^k_{lie}(A, \mathcal{K}), \quad k > 0.$$

Proof. By theorem 3.4,

$$H^{k+1}_{rsym}(A, M) \cong H^k_{lie}(A, C^1(A, M)), \quad k > 0.$$

By theorem 2.1.2 of [7],

$$H^k_{lie}(A, C^1(A, M)) \cong H^k_{lie}(A, \mathcal{K}) \otimes C^1(A, M)^{A^{lie}}.$$

It remains to notice that,

$$C^1(A, M)^{A^{lie}} := \{f \in C^1(A, M) : [f, a] = 0, \ \forall a \in A\}$$

is exactly $Z^1_{rsym}(A, M)$. It is evident:

$$[f, a](b) = (f \circ a)(b) = d_{rsym} f(b, a), \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad \bullet$$

Corollary 5.10. *Let* $A = gl_n^{rsym}$ *and* M *be a irreducible antisymmetric* A*-module. Then* $H^k_{rsym}(A, M) \neq 0, k \geq 0$, *if and only if* $M = A$, *and*

$$H^{k+1}_{rsym}(gl_n, (gl_n)_{anti}) \cong H^k_{lie}(gl_n, \mathcal{K}), k \geq 0.$$

In particular, $H^k_{rsym}(gl_n, \mathcal{K}) = 0, \quad k > 0.$

Proof. Since M is antisymmetric,

$$Z^1_{rsym}(A, M) = \{f : A \to M : f(b \circ a) = f(b) \circ a\}.$$

Thus, any $f \in Z^1_{rsym}(A, M)$ gives us a homomorphism of right modules $f : A \to M$. Since right modules M and A are irreducible, by the Lemma of Shur, $Z^1_{rsym}(A, M) \cong \mathcal{K}$, if $M \cong A$, and $Z^1_{rsym}(A, M) = 0$, if $M \ncong A$. $\bullet$

5.7. **Cohomologies of gl_n^{rsym} in a regular module.**

Theorem 5.11. *Let* $A = gl_n^{rsym}$ *over a field* $\mathcal{K}$ *of characteristic 0 and* $M = A$ *be its regular module. Then the cup product* $M \times \mathcal{K} \to M, m \cup \lambda = \lambda m,$ *induces an isomorphism*

$$H^{k+1}_{rsym}(A, M) \cong Z^1_{rsym}(A, A) \otimes H^k_{lie}(gl_n, \mathcal{K}), \ Z^1_{rsym}(A, A) \cong sl_n, \quad k \geq 0.$$

In particular, any cocycle class in $H^{k+1}_{rsym}(gl_n, gl_n)$ *has a representative that can be presented as* $ad\, X \cup \psi$, *where* $\psi \in Z^k_{lie}(gl_n, \mathcal{K})$.

Proof. Any right-symmetric 1-cocycle of an associative algebra A is also an associative 1-cocycle and converse, any associative 1-cocycle is a right-symmetric 1-cocycle. So, $Z^1_{rsym}(gl_n, gl_n) \cong Z^1_{ass}(gl_n, gl_n)$. Any derivation of the associative algebra gl_n is a derivation of the Lie algebra of gl_n. Any derivation of gl_n^{lie}, except $a \mapsto tr\, a$, is inner. So, the following sequence is exact

$$0 \to Z^1_{rsym}(gl_n, gl_n) \to Z^1_{lie}(gl_n, gl_n) \to \mathcal{K} \to 0.$$

In particular,

$$Z^1_{rsym}(gl_n, gl_n) = \{ad\, X : X \in sl_n\} \cong Z^1_{lie}(sl_n, sl_n) \cong sl_n.$$

It remains to use theorem 3.4. •

Corollary 5.12. *An algebra* gl_n *as a right-symmetric algebra has non-trivial deformations. Any right-symmetric local deformation (2-cocycle of the regular module) is equivalent to a 2-cocycle* η_X *of the form*

$$\eta_X(a, b) = (tr\, b)[X, a],$$

for some $X \in sl_n$. *Any local right-symmetric deformation can be prolongated. Any formal right-symmetric deformation of* gl_n *is equivalent to a deformation of the form*

$$\mu_t(a, b) = a \circ b + t\,(tr\, b)[X, a], \quad X \in sl_n,$$

where $(a, b) \mapsto a \circ b$ *is a usual associative multiplication of matrices.*

Proof. These statements can be obtained from the following cohomological facts

$$H^2_{rsym}(gl_n, gl_n) \cong Z^1_{right}(gl_n, gl_n) \otimes H^1_{lie}(gl_n, \mathcal{K}) \cong \{ad\, X \cup tr : X \in sl_n\},$$

$$H^3_{rsym}(gl_n, gl_n) = 0,$$

and corollary 4.2. •

Remark. For $\omega \in C^1(gl_n, gl_n)$, $\omega(a) = (tr\, a)X$, we have

$$(\eta + d_{rsym}\omega)(a, b) = (tr\, b)[X, a] + (tr\, b)a \circ X - (tr\, a \circ b)X + (tr\, a)X \circ b = \bar{\eta}_X(a, b),$$

where

$$\bar{\eta}_X(a, b) = (tr\, b)X \circ a - (tr\, a \circ b)X + (tr\, a)X \circ b.$$

Therefore, $[\eta_X] \sim [\bar{\eta}_X]$. Notice that $\bar{\eta}_X$ is a symmetric cocycle:

$$\bar{\eta}(a,b) = \bar{\eta}(b,a).$$

The prolongation formula for $\bar{\eta}_X$ is a little bit complicated. It can be given by

$$\bar{\mu}_t(a,b) = \Phi_t^{-1}(\mu_t(\Phi_t(a),\Phi_t(b))),$$

where

$$\Phi_t = id + t\,\omega.$$

In particular,

$$\Phi_t^{-1}(a) = a - t\,(tr\,a)X + t^2\,(tr\,a)^2X^2 - \cdots,$$

and some of the beginning terms of $\bar{\mu}_t$ look like

$$\bar{\mu}_t(a,b) = a\circ b + t\,X\circ((tr\,a)b - (tr\,a\circ b) + (tr\,b)a)$$

$$+t^2\,(tr\,a\,tr\,b - (tr\,a\circ b)^2X^2 - (tr\,a\,tr\,(X\circ b))X - (tr\,(a\circ X)\,tr\,b)X) + \cdots$$

So, right-symmetric prolongation can be constructed in a such way, that corresponding Lie multiplication will not be changed:

$$\bar{\mu}_t(a,b) - \bar{\mu}_t(b,a) = [a,b].$$

Acknowledgements. *The author is deeply grateful to INTAS foundation (prof. U.Rehmann), Bielelfeld University (prof. K.Ringel) and the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics (prof.M.Virasoro, prof. M.S. Narasimhan) for support.*

References

[1] Balinskii A.A., Novikov S.P., *Poisson brackets of hydrodynamical type, Frobenius algebras and Lie algebras*, Dokladu AN SSSR, **283**(1985), No.5, 1036-1039= engl.transl. Soviet Math.Dokl. **32**(1985), No.1, 228-231.

[2] D. Burde, *Left-symmetric structures on simple modular Lie algebras,* J. Algebra, **169**(1994), 112-138.

[3] D. Burde, *Left-invariant affine structures on reductive groups,* J. Algebra, **181**(1996), 884-902.

[4] A. S. Dzhumadil'daev, *Central extensions of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Funct.Anal. Pril. **26**(1992), No.4, 21-29=engl.transl. 247-253.

[5] A. S. Dzhumadil'daev, *On cohomology of modular Lie algebras*, Math.Sbornik, **119(181)**(1982), 132-149=engl.transl. Math.USSR-Sbornik, **47**(1984), No.1,127-143.

[6] A. S. Dzhumadil'daev, *One remark on a space of invariant differential operators*, Vestnik Moscow State Univ. Ser.Math. **37**(1982), No.2,49-54 =engl.transl. Moscow Univ. Math.Bull, **37**(1982), No.2, 63-68.

[7] D. B. Fuks, *Cohomology of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Plenum, New York, 1986.

[8] I. M. Gelfand, D. B. Fuks, *The cohomology of the Lie algebra of the vector fields in a circle*, Funct.Anal. Pril.,**2**(1968), No.4, 92-93=engl.transl. 342-343.

[9] I.M. Gelfand, I.Ya. Dorfman, Hamiltonian operators and algebraic structures related to them, Funct.Anal. Appl. 13(1979), 248-262.

[10] M. Gerstenhaber, *On the deformations of rings and algebras*, Annals of Math.,**79**(1964), 59-103.

[11] M. Gerstenhaber, *The cohomology structure of an associative ring*, Annals of Math.,**78**(1963), No.2, 267-288.

[12] R. Kerner, Z_n- *graded differential calculuc,* Czech. J.Phys., **47**(1997), No.1, 33-40.

[13] H. Kim, *Complete left-invariant affine structures on nilpotent Lie groups,* J. Diff. Geom., **24**(1986), 373-394.

[14] J.L. Koszul, *Domaines bornés homogènes et orbites de groupes de transformations affines,* Bull. Soc. Math. France **89**(1961), No. 4, 515-533.

[15] J.M. Osborn, *Novikov algebras,* Nova J. Algebra Geom., **1**(1992), 1-14.

[16] J.M. Osborn, *Simple Novikov algebras with an idempotent,* Comm. Algebra, **20**(1992), No. 9, 2729-2753.

[17] J.M. Osborn, *Infinite-dimensional Novikov algebras of characteristic 0,* J. Algebra, **167**(1994), 146-167.

[18] G.-Y.Shen, *Translative isomorphisms and left-symmetric structures on* $W(\mathbf{m},n)$ *,* J. Algebra, **184**(1996), 575-583.

[19] E.B. Vinberg, *Convex homogeneous cones,* Transl. Moscow Math. Soc., **12**(1963), 340-403.

Inst. Mathematics, Pushkin str.125, Almaty, 480021, Kazakhstan
E-mail address: `askar@itpm.sci.kz`